

MISTIK MANAGEMENT LTD.

2019 20-YEAR FOREST MANAGEMENT PLAN

Volume II Document VI- Forest Estate Modeling

Background Information Document



2019 FOREST MANAGEMENT PLAN – VOLUME II FOREST ESTATE MODELING DOCUMENT

for the

Mistik and L&M Forest Management Agreement (FMA) Areas



For the 20-year period from April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2039

© 2018 Mistik Management Ltd. Box 9060 Meadow Lake, Saskatchewan Canada, S9X 1V7 All rights reserved. No part of this text may be reproduced or used in any form or by any means – mechanical, graphic or electronic, including photocopying without the prior written permission of Mistik Management Ltd.

Library and Archives Canada Cataloguing in Publication

Nesdoly, Roger G., 1954 Mistik Management Ltd. 2019: 20-year forest management plan / Roger G. Nesdoly.

Includes bibliographical references. Title: Mistik Management Ltd. 2019 20-Year Forest Management Plan Vol II Forest Estate Modeling ISBN 978-0-9699737-2-0



Changes Since Previous Submission

This document was previously submitted to Saskatchewan Ministry of Environment on April 6, 2018. The only changes from the version submitted on that date to this current and final version are listed below.

Section	Page	Change		
N/A	N/A	Headers (changed to "2019 Forest Estate Modeling")		
N/A	2	Changed date: April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2039		
N/A	3	Removed sign-off sheet and added this description of changes		
N/A	N/A	Footers (changed to dates)		
N/A	N/A	Changed footnotes: date changed from "2017" to "2019"		
N/A	N/A	Changed throughout document any reference to "2017" to "2019"		
N/A	N/A	In all of the Control Parameters in Section 5 the top diameter was placed in bold to ensure it is clear which utilization standard was used		
2.4	18	Removed "District" from the planning unit names		
3.5	22	Footnote changed to remove 5 inch top comment		
4	29	Updated softwood LRSYA MAIs and volumes in the tables based on 10 cm top diameter. Also updated footnotes to 10 cm top diameter		
5.5	35-36	Table 5.3 updated to include new scenario for 10 cm. Also added footnote		
6	78	Updated wording for SMS to include "10 cm top diameter utilization standards"		
6.2	79	Updated Yield curves parameter in Table 6.2 for model parameters to include "10 cm top diameter utilization standards"		
6.3	80-82	Updated harvest profile volumes and figures for both companies		
6.3.1	83-84	Updated planning unit volume summaries and figures		
6.3.2	84	Updated Retention wording and summary table		
6.3.3	85	Replaced tables 6.4 and 6.5 with one table which includes degrade, retention and additional volume from merch. trees. New wording in the section for the degrade, final HVS calculations, etc.		



Contents

Executiv	e Summary	12
1. Intr	oduction	13
2. Stud	dy Area	14
2.1.	Location	14
2.2.	Landbase Definition	15
2.3.	Modeling Landbase	17
2.3.	1. Modeling Landbase Development	17
2.4.	Planning Units and Operating Areas	17
3. Mo	deling Assumptions	19
3.1.	Forest Inventory	19
3.2.	Growth & Yield	19
3.3.	Utilization Specifications	21
3.4.	Cull Deductions	22
3.5.	Operability Limits	22
3.6.	Silviculture	22
3.7.	Development Type Transitions	23
3.8.	Forest Stand Break-up Ages	24
3.8.	1. Sensitivity Analysis	24
3.9.	Re-Planning Threshold	25
3.10.	Non-Timber Objectives	25
3.10	0.1. Seral Stage	25
3.10	0.2. Inblock-Retention	26
3.10	0.3. Event Size	26
3.10	0.4. Old Forest Patch Size	27
3.10).5. Woodland Caribou	27
4. Lor	ng Run Sustained Yield Average (LRSYA)	
4.1.	Long Run Sustained Yield Average (LRSYA)	
5. Wo	od Supply Model	31
5.1.	Wood Supply Model Parameters	31
5.1.	1. Basic Parameters	32
5.2.	Model Priorities	



5.2.1.	Productive versus Non-Productive Land	.33
5.2.2.	Mature and Immature Forest	.33
5.2.3.	Forest Age	.33
5.2.4.	Volume	.33
5.2.5.	Proximity to Mill	.33
5.2.6.	Recent Burns	.33
5.2.7.	Insect and Disease	.34
5.2.8.	Social Considerations	.34
5.2.9.	Road Infrastructure	.34
5.2.10.	Forest Economics	.34
5.3. M	lodel Limitations	.34
5.4. N	atural Disturbance Risks	.34
5.5. So	cenarios Explored	.35
5.5.1.	FMS 1 Total Volume (10 cm)	.37
5.5.2.	FMS 2 Total Volume (7.5 cm)	.40
5.5.3.	FMS 3 Total Volume	.43
5.5.4.	FMS 4 Hardwood	.46
5.5.5.	FMS 5 Softwood	.49
5.5.6.	FMS 6 Total Volume with Caribou Constraints	.52
5.5.7.	FMS 7 Total Volume with Caribou and Seral Stage Constraints	.55
5.5.8.	FMS 8 Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, and Old Forest Constraints	.58
5.5.9. Blocks	FMS 9 Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, Old Forest Constraints and Planned 61	
5.5.10. Planne	FMS 10 Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, Old Forest Constraints and d/Tactical Blocks	.64
5.5.11. Constr	FMS 11 Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, Old Forest, L&M Black Spruce aints, and Planned/Tactical Blocks	.67
5.5.12. Old Fo	FMS 11 (Spatial, 12.7 cm Top Diameter) Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, prest, L&M Black Spruce Constraints, and Planned/Tactical Blocks	.70
5.6. T	actical Plan	.73
Selecte	d Management Strategy	.78
6.1. S ₁	patial Parameters	.78
6.2. M	Iodel Parameters	.79

6.

MISTIK MANAGEMENT LTD. 2019 FOREST ESTATE MODELING

6.3.	Harvest Profile	80
6.3.1	. Harvest Profile by Planning Unit	83
6.3.2	2. Retention Adjustment	84
6.3.3	3. HVS and HVS Pulp Summary	85
6.4.	Future Forest Condition	85
6.5.	Woodland Caribou Analysis	94
6.6.	Piece Size Analysis	95
7. Nati	aral Forest Patterns	96
7.1.	Event Size	96
7.2.	Seral Stage	
7.3.	Interior Old Forest	
7.4.	Retention	
7.5.	Old Forest Patch Size	
8. Salv	age Harvesting	
8.1.	Salvage Harvest Timing	
8.2.	Salvage Harvest Retention Criteria	
8.2.1	. Retention Arrangement	
8.2.2	2. Live Tree Retention	
Appendix	A: Rotation Age Analysis	
Appendix	B: Development Type Transitions	
Appendix	C: Seral Stage Maintenance Strategy	
Strateg	y Details	
Appendix	D: Piece Size Analysis	
Appendix	x E: Data Submission	



FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Map of the Mistik FMP area14	ł
Figure 2.2: Net Productive Area Age Class Distribution By Overstorey Species Group: Mistik FMA	,)
Figure 2.3: Net Productive Area Age Class Distribution By Overstorey Species Group: L&M FMA	,)
Figure 5.1: Results - FMS 1 Total Volume (10 cm)	;
Figure 5.2: Results - FMS 2 Total Volume (7.5 cm)	
Figure 5.3: Results - FMS 3 Total Volume	ŀ
Figure 5.4: Results – FMS 4 Hardwood	,
Figure 5.5: Results – FMS 5 Softwood)
Figure 5.6: Results - FMS 6 Total Volume with Caribou Constraints	\$
Figure 5.7: Results - FMS 7 Total Volume with Caribou and Seral Stage Constraints)
Figure 5.8: Results - FMS 8 Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, and Old Forest Constraints59)
Figure 5.9: Results – FMS 9 Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, Old Forest Constraints and Planned Blocks	<u>,</u>
Figure 5.10: Results – FMS 10 Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, Old Forest Constraints and Planned/Tactical Blocks	;
Figure 5.11: Results – FMS 11 Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, Old Forest, Black Spruce Constraints and Planned/Tactical Blocks	3
Figure 5.12: Results – FMS 11 Spatial with 12.7 CM Top Diameter71	
Figure 5.13: Tactical Plan Profile	ŀ
Figure 6.1: Harvest Volume Results – Selected Management Strategy)
Figure 6.2: SMS Harvest Volume Results by Planning Unit83	;
Figure 6.3: Caribou Range Harvest	ŀ
Figure 7.1: Combining Adjacent Stands into a Single Event Patch96)
Figure 7.2: Clustering of Patches into a Common Event)
Figure 7.3: Current and Year 20 Interior Old Forest 102	2
Figure C.1 Identification of eligible stands for late seral retention	2
Figure D.1 Piece Size Development Type 1: S-WS-A-A 114	ŀ
Figure D.2 Piece Size Development Type 2: S-BS-A-A 115	,)
Figure D.3 Piece Size Development Type 3: S-JP-LD-A-1 116)
Figure D.4 Piece Size Development Type 4: S-JP-LD-A-2 117	,



Figure D.5 Piece Size Development Type 5: S-JP-HD-A-1	118
Figure D.6 Piece Size Development Type 6: S-JP-HD-A-2	119
Figure D.7 Piece Size Development Type 7: S-JP-L&M	120
Figure D.8 Piece Size Development Type 8: SH-JP-A-A	121
Figure D.9 Piece Size Development Type 9: SH-WS-A-A	122
Figure D.10 Piece Size Development Type 10: HS-WS-A-A	123
Figure D.11 Piece Size Development Type 11: HS-JP-A-A	124
Figure D.12 Piece Size Development Type 12: H-A-LD-A-1	125
Figure D.13 Piece Size Development Type 13: H-A-LD-A-2	126
Figure D.14 Piece Size Development Type 14: H-A-HD-A-1	127
Figure D.15 Piece Size Development Type 15: H-A-HD-A-2	128
Figure D.16 Piece Size Development Type 16: H(S)-A-LD-A	129
Figure D.17 Piece Size Development Type 17: H(S)-A-HD-A	130



TABLES

Table 2.1 Forest Characterization Summary by FMA Area	15
Table 2.2 Modeled Landbase Area Summary by FMA	17
Table 2.3 Planning Unit Productive Area Summary	18
Table 2.4 Planning Unit and Operating Area Summary	18
Table 3.1: Forest Development Type Assignment	20
Table 3.2: Forest Development Type Assignment Area Summary	20
Table 3.3: Utilization Standards for Mistik And L&M	21
Table 3.4: Changing Utilization Standards for Conifer to a 7.5cm Top	21
Table 3.5: Changing Utilization Standards for Conifer to a 12.5cm Top	21
Table 3.6: Minimum Harvest Ages and Volumes by Development Type	22
Table 3.7: Development Type Transitions	23
Table 3.8: Development Type Rotation and Break-Up Ages	24
Table 3.9: Seral Stage Age Ranges by Species Group	25
Table 3.10: Seral Stage Targets by SGR Type	26
Table 4.1: Net LRSYA Estimates: "Modeled" Regeneration Transition – Mistik FMA Area	29
Table 4.2: Net LRSYA Estimates: "Status Quo" Regeneration Transition – Mistik FMA Area	29
Table 4.3: Net LRSYA Estimates: "Modeled" Regeneration Transition – L&M FMA Area	30
Table 4.4: Net LRSYA Estimates: "Status Quo" Regeneration Transition – L&M FMA Area	30
Table 5.1: Theme 7 Description	32
Table 5.2: Harvest Simulation Control Parameter Definitions Used in Analysis	32
Table 5.3: Forest Management Scenarios Explored	35
Table 5.4: Control Parameters - FMS 1	37
Table 5.5: Control Parameters - FMS 2	40
Table 5.6: Control Parameters - FMS 3	43
Table 5.7: Control Parameters - FMS 4	46
Table 5.8: Control Parameters - FMS 5	49
Table 5.9: Control Parameters - FMS 6	52
Table 5.10: Control Parameters - FMS 7	55
Table 5.11: Control Parameters - FMS 8	58
Table 5.12: Control Parameters - FMS 9	61



Table 5.13: Control Parameters - FMS 10
Table 5.14: Control Parameters - FMS 11
Table 5.15: Control Parameters - FMS 11 (12.7 Cm, Spatial)70
Table 5.16: Planned Block Area and Volume Summary
Table 6.1: Spatial Rules for Spatial Optimizer Run 78
Table 6.2: Control Parameters - SMS Total Volume with Caribou, Seral Stage, Old Forest Constraints and The Planned/Tactical Blocks
Table 6.3: Mistik and L&M HVS with Retention 84
Table 6.4: Saw log and Pulp
Table 6.5: Mistik Age Class Distribution by Species Group for the Operable Area: Current and Year 10
Table 6.6: Mistik Age Class Distribution by Species Group for the Operable Area: Year 20 and Year 50
Table 6.7: Mistik Age Class Distribution by Species Group for the Operable Area: Year 100 and Year 200
Table 6.8: Mistik SMS Operable Area by Species Group 89
Table 6.9: L&M Age Class Distribution by Species Group for the Operable Area: Current and Year 10
Table 6.10: L&M Age Class Distribution by Species Group for the Operable Area: Year 20 and Year 50
Table 6.11: L&M Age Class Distribution by Species Group for the Operable Area: Year 100 and Year 200
Table 6.12: L&M SMS Operable Area by Species Group
Table 7.1: Event Size Distribution for the Selected Management Strategy in Years 1-5
Table 7.2: Event Size Distribution for the Selected Management Strategy in Years 6-10
Table 7.3: Event Size Summary years 1-10 98
Table 7.4: Selected Management Strategy Late Seral Stage Productive Area Retention Amounts 100
Table 7.5: Selected Management Strategy Old And Very Old Area Retention Amounts
Table 7.6: Old Forest Patch Size Distribution for the Selected Management Strategy
Table D.1: Piece Size Development Type 1: S-WS-A-A 114
Table D.2: Piece Size Development Type 2: S-BS-A-A
Table D.3: Piece Size Development Type 3: S-JP-LD-A-1 116
Table D.4: Piece Size Development Type 4: S-JP-LD-A-2
Table D.5: Piece Size Development Type 5: S-JP-HD-A-1 118



MAPS

Map 5.1 Mistik FMP Area Tactical Plan	76
Map 5.2 Mistik FMP Area Old Forest	77



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On behalf of Mistik Management Ltd. (Mistik) and L&M Forest Products Ltd. (L&M), I am pleased to present Mistik's 2019 20-Year FMP Volume II: Forest Estate Modeling document completed in fulfilment of the requirements of Saskatchewan's Forest Resources Management Act (1999), the Province of Saskatchewan's 2017 Forest Management Planning Standard Document (September 2017) and Mistik's and L&M's Forest Management Agreements with the Province of Saskatchewan.

Mistik's 2019 20-Year FMP Volume II: Forest Estate Modeling provides both the Province of Saskatchewan and the public with a variety of information related to sustainable forest management of Mistik's and L&M's Forest Management Agreements, hereby known as the Mistik FMP Area. This portion of the forest management plan describes the following topics:

- Modelling assumptions;
- Long run sustained yield average;
- Wood supply model;
- Selected management strategy
- Natural forest patterns
- Salvage harvesting

Given the requirements of the planning standard, Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 11 was selected as the strategy This FMS was determined to be the selected management strategy (SMS) as it maintained the desired harvest flows while also satisfying the non-timber constraints. The following is the HVS determined based on the selected management strategy:

SELECTED MANAGEMENT STRATEGY: HARVEST VOLUME RESULTS			
MISTIK		L&M	
SUMMARY TABLE		SUMMARY TABLE	
Net Productive Area	817,284 ha	Net Productive Area	61,226 ha
Softwood Harvest Level	549,986 m ³ /yr	Softwood Harvest Level	79,429 m³/yr
Hardwood Harvest Level	999,753 m ³ /yr	Hardwood Harvest Level	49,899 m³/yr



1. INTRODUCTION

As outlined in the Saskatchewan 2017 Forest Management Planning Standard, a key component of a 20-Year Forest Management Plan (FMP) is the Forest Estate Modeling (FEM)

report. Part of the FEM is to produce a Wood Supply Analysis (WSA). The primary goal of the wood supply analysis is to determine an Harvest Volume Schedule (HVS) level that provides the desired flow of forest values and achieves the desired future forest state. This document

HVS: the volume of timber that can be harvested under sustained-yield management in any one year, as identified in the Mistik 2019 Forest Management Plan.

contains a detailed description of the methods and processes used for the Mistik FMP area in the WSA.

In the process of identifying and using the best available information as inputs for the WSA, two supporting documents have been produced and submitted to Saskatchewan Environment Forest Service, including:

- Forest Characterization (2019) Documents the data used and process followed to characterize the forest and determine the portions of the Mistik FMP area that are considered productive and are modeled as part of the WSA.
- Forest Development (2019) Documents the data used and process followed to determine development types and yield curves used in the WSA for the portions of the Mistik FMP area that are identified as Net Productive Area as described in the Forest Characterization document.
- Modelling Assumptions (2019)- Documents the key assumptions and inputs that Mistik and L&M will be using in the WSA
- VOITS (2019) Documents the values, objectives, indicators, and targets to be utilized within the Mistik FMP area



2.1. LOCATION

The Mistik and L&M FMAs are in the northwest central region of the province along the border of Alberta (Figure 2-1). The Mistik FMA surrounds the Department of the National Defence's air weapons range. The L&M FMA is located just south of the town of Meadow Lake. The area for both FMAs can be found in Table 2.1.





∬ silvacom™



2.2. LANDBASE DEFINITION

The following section outlines the landbase characterization categories developed in the forest characterization process. For more information on the development of the categories please refer to the Forest Characterization document. The area in each characterization category and the net productive forested area age class distribution by overstorey species group category are presented for the Mistik and L&M FMA areas in Table 2.1, Figure 2.2, and Figure 2.3.

LANDBASE CATEGORY	MISTIK AREA (HA)	L&M AREA (HA)	TOTAL AREA (HA)
Gross FMA Landbase Area	1,809,288	69,211	1,878,499
Water (Lakes and Rivers)	74,535	223	74,758
Landuse Dispositions (Recreation Areas and Timber Reserves)	6,767	0	6,767
Non-Forested: Anthropogenic	11,999	697	12,696
Non-Forested: Natural	149,638	2,953	152,591
Sub-Total (Permanent Exclusions)	242,939	3,873	246,812
FMA Managed Forested Area	1,566,349	65,338	1,631,687
Watercourse Buffers - 15 m	18,316	1,031	19,347
Watercourse Buffers - 30 m	5,814	107	5,921
Watercourse Buffers - 90 m	32,506	0	32,506
Inoperable	253	0	253
Operational Constraints - Low Productivity Class	111,511	332	111,843
Operational Constraints - Low Crown Closure	121,816	2,158	123,974
Operational Constraints - High Larch Component	175,096	0	175,096
Operational Constraints - Significant Disease on Pine	6,928	0	6,928
Operational Constraints - Black Spruce Considerations	276,824	484	277,308
FMA Net Productive Area	817,284	61,226	878,510
Forest Management Modification Area	0	0	0
Sub-Total (Partial Exclusions)	749,064	4,112	753, 176
FMA Net Productive Area – No Constraints	817,284	61,226	878,510

TABLE 2.1 FOREST CHARACTERIZATION SUMMARY BY FMA AREA



FIGURE 2.3: NET PRODUCTIVE AREA AGE CLASS DISTRIBUTION BY OVERSTOREY SPECIES GROUP: L&M FMA



∭ silvacom™



2.3. MODELING LANDBASE

For the WSA it was required to develop a landbase which would be utilized within the WSA model. The landbase that will be utilized within the model will include the net productive areas of both the Mistik and L&M FMAs along with the eligible exclusions, consistent with the process agreed to and followed for the 2007 FMP. The eligible exclusions include forested areas that are in buffers and operational constraints. Table 2.2 provides a breakdown of the area included within the model.

TABLE 2.2 MODELED LANDBASE AREA SUMMARY BY FMA

LANDBASE CATEGORY	MISTIK AREA (HA)	L&M AREA (HA)	TOTAL AREA (HA)
FMA Net Productive Area	817,284	61,226	878,510
Dispositions	4,817	0	4,817
Watercourse Buffers - 15 m	8,170	636	8,807
Watercourse Buffers - 30 m	3,503	52	3,555
Watercourse Buffers - 90 m	20,770	0	20,770
Inoperable	243	0	243
Operational Constraints - Low Crown Closure	68,868	1,100	69,968
Operational Constraints - High Larch Component	23,669	0	23,669
Operational Constraints - Significant Disease on Pine	6,928	0	6,928
Operational Constraints - Black Spruce Considerations	0	4	4
Total Eligible Exclusions	136,970	1,792	138,762
Modeling Landbase Area	954,254	63,018	1,017,272

2.3.1. MODELING LANDBASE DEVELOPMENT

The modeling landbase was developed from the submitted planning inventory. It was necessary to overlay the caribou range along with the tactical plan onto the submitted planning inventory. This was necessary in order to assign the tactical blocks in the wood supply model and report activities in the caribou range. In addition, it was necessary to add a year of origin (YOO) field based on feedback from Saskatchewan Environment Forest Service.

2.4. PLANNING UNITS AND OPERATING AREAS

The Mistik FMP area will be managed or will be presented in the 2019 FMP within the context of five planning units, consisting of a total of thirteen landscape-level management units ranging in size from 13,706 ha to 355,677 ha. The management units were combined into larger planning units.

Table 2.3 identifies the larger planning units, the management units that are within each planning unit, and respective areas (ha) comprising the current Mistik FMP area. The average management unit size is 152,700 ha. On average, only 47% (ranging from 31% to 71%) of the Mistik FMP area is considered capable of supporting timber harvesting. Each management unit within the FMP area is subdivided into many operating areas. There are 416 operating areas comprising the Mistik FMA area with an average size of ~4,400 ha (Table 2.4). The L&M FMA area is subdivided into 10 operating areas and the FMA area contributes to the timber supply.



TABLE 2.3 PLANNING UNIT PRODUCTIVE AREA SUMMARY¹

PLANNING UNIT	MANAGEMENT UNIT	MODELED AREA (HA)	NET PRODUCTIVE AREA WITHIN THE MODELED AREA (HA)	% PRODUCTIVE
	20-Beaver River	9,005	8,044	89%
Wost	03-Big Island Lake	27,745	26,751	96%
WEST	12-Murray Bay	42,817	37,167	87%
	02-Pierceland	74,692	65,597	88%
Subtotal		154,259	137,558	89%
	09-Ile a la Crosse	40,928	34,463	84%
	10-Buffalo	54,977	50,060	91%
Central	07-Beauval	64,322	53,693	83%
	04-Waterhen	124,281	106,428	86%
	08-Canoe Lake	70,444	60,688	86%
Subtotal		354,952	305,333	86%
North	21-Peter Pond	131,351	102,577	78%
NOTUT	11-Dillon	201,871	172,489	85%
Subtotal		333,222	275,066	83%
Divide	01-Divide	107,002	99,326	93%
Subtotal		107,002	99,326	93%
L&M	85- L&M	63,018	61,226	97%
Subtotal		63,018	61,226	97%
Total		1,012,453	878,509	87%

TABLE 2.4 PLANNING UNIT AND OPERATING AREA SUMMARY

PLANNING UNITS	MANAGEMENT UNIT	MODELED AREA (HA)	# OF OPERATING AREAS	AVERAGE OP. AREA SIZE WITHIN THE MODELED AREA (HA)
	20-Beaver River	9,005	4	2,251
Most	03-Big Island Lake	27,745	8	3,468
West	12-Murray Bay	42,817	16	2,676
	02-Pierceland	74,692	31	2,409
Subtotal		154,259	59	2,615
	09-Ile a la Crosse	40,928	27	1,516
	10-Buffalo Narrows	54,977	29	1,895
Central	07-Beauval	64,322	34	1,892
	04-Waterhen	124,281	45	2,762
	08-Canoe Lake	70,444	29	2,429
Subtotal		354,952	164	2,164
North	21-Peter Pond	131,351	35	3,753
NOTUT	11-Dillon	201,871	113	1,786
Subtotal		333,222	148	2,252
Divide	01-Divide	107,002	45	2,378
Subtotal		107,002	45	2,378
L&M	85-L&M	63,018	10	6,302
Subtotal		63,018	10	6,302
Total		1,012,453	426	2,377

¹ The total modeled area in the planning units does not match the total gross area in Table 2.1 since management units 78 (Recreation Area) and 79 (Timber Reserve) are not included within a planning unit.



3. MODELING ASSUMPTIONS

This section summarizes the modeling assumptions utilized within the wood supply analysis. Further details related to the modeling assumptions can be located within the Modeling Assumptions document.

3.1. FOREST INVENTORY

The forest inventory involved a complete stratification of all forested and non-forested areas within the Mistik FMP area using Saskatchewan Forest Vegetation Inventory (SFVI) standards. This "census" of the entire landbase will accommodate complete FMA area-wide summaries by tile, species, age class or any other inventory attribute, and will facilitate short and long-term planning.

Medium scale (1:10,000 and 1:15,000) 'leaf-on', black and white panchromatic air photo coverage was obtained for Mistik's entire FMA area beginning in 1994 and ending in 2005. The stratification of forested and non-forested lands was completed in accordance with SFVI specifications created by Silvacom Ltd. (approved, September 06, 2000).

Digital orthophotos (1:60,000) were produced by Land Data Technologies Inc., acquired (in combination with 50 metre digital elevation model data) between 1998 and 2001. Data stratified on the aerial photography was transferred to these orthophotos, digitized and entered into a database. Throughout the various processes strict quality control measures were implemented.

It should be noted the effective date of this planning inventory is 2015 meaning all disturbance data and stand ages were updated to this date. The one exception is that 2016 cutblocks were included in the modeling landbase and their ages were set to zero.

3.2. GROWTH & YIELD

Mistik and L&M compiled yield curves for the FMAs in 2007 during development of the previous FMPs. Descriptions of how these yield curves were developed can be found within the Forest Development document. A summary table (Table 3.1) below demonstrates how the development type was assigned to each forested stand. The net area within each development type and FMA can be found within Table 3.2.

TABLE 3.1: FOREST DEVELOPMENT TYPE ASSIGNMENT

SPECIES GROUP (DT_SPGP)	LEADING SPECIES (DT_SPECIES)	CROWN COVER (DT_CROWN)	PRODUCTIVITY CLASS (DT_PCLASS)	SIGNIFICANT SOFTWOOD (SIG_SOFT)	DEVELOPMENT TYPE (DEVTYPE)	DEVELOPMENT TYPE CODE (DEV_CODE)
S	WS	ALL	ALL	N/A	'S-WS-A-A'	1
S	BS	ALL	ALL	N/A	'S-BS-A-A'	2
S	JP	LD	1	N/A	'S-JP-LD-A-1'	3
S	JP	LD	2	N/A	'S-JP-LD-A-2'	4
S	JP	HD	1	N/A	'S-JP-HD-A-1'	5
S	JP	HD	2	N/A	'S-JP-HD-A-2'	6
S	JP	ALL	ALL	N/A	'S-JP-L&M'	7
SH	JP	ALL	ALL	N/A	'SH-JP-A-A'	8
SH	WS	ALL	ALL	N/A	'SH-WS-A-A'	9
HS	WS	ALL	ALL	N/A	'HS-WS-A-A'	10
HS	JP	ALL	ALL	N/A	'HS-JP-A-A'	11
Н	N/A	LD	1	0	'H-A-LD-A-1'	12
Н	N/A	LD	2	0	'H-A-LD-A-2'	13
Н	N/A	HD	1	0	'H-A-HD-A-1'	14
Н	N/A	HD	2	0	'H-A-HD-A-2'	15
Н	N/A	LD	ALL	1	'H(S)-A-LD-A'	16
Н	N/A	HD	ALL	1	'H(S)-A-HD-A'	17

TABLE 3.2: FOREST DEVELOPMENT TYPE ASSIGNMENT AREA SUMMARY

		MISTIK	L&M
Development TTPE CODE	DEVELOPMENT TYPE	Area	(ha)
1	'S-WS-A-A'	20,052	2,963
2	'S-BS-A-A'	23,669	10,910
3	'S-JP-LD-A-1'	94,565	0
4	'S-JP-LD-A-2'	29,871	0
5	'S-JP-HD-A-1'	101,108	0
6	'S-JP-HD-A-2'	57,705	0
7	S-JP-L&M'	0	17,962
8	'SH-JP-A-A'	46,711	7,334
9	'SH-WS-A-A'	48,507	3,266
10	'HS-WS-A-A'	50,345	4,033
11	'HS-JP-A-A'	38,209	3,976
12	'H-A-LD-A-1'	16,625	570
13	'H-A-LD-A-2'	27,589	1,018
14	'H-A-HD-A-1'	61,877	2,362
15	'H-A-HD-A-2'	124,471	3,546
16	'H(S)-A-LD-A'	29,848	1,257
17	'H(S)-A-HD-A'	46,135	2,028
Tot	tal	817,286	61,226



3.3. UTILIZATION SPECIFICATIONS

The utilization standards used to calculate both softwood and hardwood net merchantable volume are described in detail in the Forest Development document. The utilization parameters for both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas can be found in Table 3.3. There were sensitivity scenarios explored testing on the impacts of increasing the minimum top diameters. For these tests the utilization standards are found within Table 3.4 and Table 3.5. Following discussion with the companies, Mistik and L&M will be using the 10 cm softwood top utilization for the SMS.

UTILIZATION	L&M YIELD CURVE # 7		MISTIK + L&M (ALL O	THER YIELD CURVES)
PARAMETER	Hardwood	Softwood	Hardwood	Softwood
Stump Height (m)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Minimum Top Diameter Inside Bark (cm)	8	10	7.5	10
Log Length (m)	n/a	n/a	2.6	2.6
Merchantable Minimum Bole Length (m)	4.9	5.2	5.2	5.2

TABLE 3.3: UTILIZATION STANDARDS FOR MISTIK AND L&M

TABLE 3.4: CHANGING UTILIZATION STANDARDS FOR CONIFER TO A 7.5CM TOP

UTILIZATION	L&M YIELD CURVE # 7		MISTIK + L&M (ALL OTHER YIELD CURVES)	
PARAMETER	Hardwood	Softwood	Hardwood	Softwood
Stump Height (m)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Minimum Top Diameter Inside Bark (cm)	8	7.5	7.5	7.5
Log Length (m)	n/a	n/a	2.6	2.6
Merchantable Minimum Bole Length (m)	4.9	5.2	5.2	5.2

TABLE 3.5: CHANGING UTILIZATION STANDARDS FOR CONIFER TO A 12.5CM TOP²

UTILIZATION	L&M YIELD CURVE # 7		MISTIK + L&M (ALL OTHER YIELD CURVES	
PARAMETER	Hardwood	Softwood	Hardwood	Softwood
Stump Height (m)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Minimum Top Diameter	8	12 7	75	12.7
Inside Bark (cm)	8	12.1	7.5	12.7
Log Length (m)	n/a	n/a	2.6	2.6
Merchantable Minimum	10	5.2	5.2	5.2
Bole Length (m)	4.9	5.2	5.2	5.2

² The analysis was completed for a 5" top, which converts to 12.7 cm. However, as discussed with Saskatchewan Government at the August 10, 2017 Planning Team meeting, for consistency with analysis done throughout the province, we have used 12.5cm to label this scenario.



3.4. CULL DEDUCTIONS

Cull deductions were applied to the yields of each development type to account for scalable defects in the wood volume. These defects include rot, checks, sweep, and crook. For the Mistik and L&M FMAs the cull deductions that will be used in the Wood Supply Analysis are 1.5% for softwood and 7.4% for hardwood. The cull factors used for the L&M FMA will be the same except for the jack pine yield curve, which are 0.4% for softwood and 4.0% for hardwood.

3.5. OPERABILITY LIMITS

The minimum harvest ages and volumes that were utilized in the Wood Supply Analysis can be found in Table 3.6 below. The companies had originally planned on using a minimum harvest volume of 60 m³/ha, as noted in the modeling assumptions document. However, it was determined in later discussions that 50 m³/ha was now a more appropriate minimum harvest volume.

DEVELOPMENT TYPE CODE	DEVELOPMENT TYPE	MINIMUM HARVEST AGE	MINIMUM HARVEST VOLUME (m³/ha) ³
1	'S-WS-A-A'	100	50
2	'S-BS-A-A'	100	50
3	'S-JP-LD-A-1'	70	50
4	'S-JP-LD-A-2'	70	50
5	'S-JP-HD-A-1'	70	50
6	'S-JP-HD-A-2'	70	50
7	S-JP-L&M'	70	50
8	'SH-JP-A-A'	80	50
9	'SH-WS-A-A'	90	50
10	'HS-WS-A-A'	80	50
11	'HS-JP-A-A'	80	50
12	'H-A-LD-A-1'	70	50
13	'H-A-LD-A-2'	70	50
14	'H-A-HD-A-1'	70	50
15	'H-A-HD-A-2'	70	50
16	'H(S)-A-LD-A'	70	50
17	'H(S)-A-HD-A'	70	50

TABLE 3.6: MINIMUM HARVEST AGES AND VOLUMES BY DEVELOPMENT TYPE

3.6. SILVICULTURE

There were no silvicultural assumptions utilized within the Wood Supply Analysis. The SGR transitions are described for each development type in section 3.7 below.

 $^{^3}$ The original minimum harvest volume was set at 50 m³/ha following discussions.

3.7. DEVELOPMENT TYPE TRANSITIONS

The development type transitions are based on the Silvicultural Ground Rules (SGR). For further information regarding the SGR transitions please refer to the SGR document. The transitions for each development type which was used in the wood supply model can be found in Table 3.7 below.

TABLE 3.7: DEVELOPMENT TYPE TRANSITIONS

DEVELOPMENT TYPE CODE	DEVELOPMENT TYPE	SGR TARGET PERCENT	TRANSITION DEVELOPMENT TYPE
1	'S-WS-A-A'	100	1 - 'S-WS-A-A'
2		10	1 - 'S-WS-A-A'
2	S-BS-A-A	90	2 - 'S-BS-A-A'
		35	3 - 'S-JP-LD-A-1'
3	'S-JP-LD-A-1'	55	5 - 'S-JP-HD-A-1'
		10	8 - 'SH-JP-A-A'
		35	4 - 'S-JP-LD-A-2'
4	'S-JP-LD-A-2'	55	6 - 'S-JP-HD-A-2'
		10	8 - 'SH-JP-A-A'
		90	5 - 'S-JP-HD-A-1'
5	S-JP-HD-A-1	10	8 - 'SH-JP-A-A'
<u>^</u>		90	6 - 'S-JP-HD-A-2'
б	S-JP-HD-A-2	10	8 - 'SH-JP-A-A'
7	S-JP-L&M'	100	7 - 'S-JP-L&M'
	'SH-JP-A-A'	65	8 - 'SH-JP-A-A'
0		10	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
8		20	11 - 'HS-JP-A-A'
		5	17 - 'H(S)-A-HD-A'
	'SH-WS-A-A'	10	1 - 'S-WS-A-A'
9		70	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
		20	10 - 'HS-WS-A-A'
10		40	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
10	H3-W3-A-A	60	10 - 'HS-WS-A-A'
		20	8 - 'SH-JP-A-A'
		20	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
11	'HS-JP-A-A'	20	10 - 'HS-WS-A-A'
		30	11 - 'HS-JP-A-A'
		10	17 - 'H(S)-A-HD-A'
		15	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
12		15	10 - 'HS-WS-A-A'
12	II-A-ED-A-T	5	12 - 'H-A-LD-A-1'
		65	14 - 'H-A-HD-A-1'
		15	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
12	'H-A-I D-A-2'	15	10 - 'HS-WS-A-A'
		5	13 - 'H-A-LD-A-2'
		65	15 - 'H-A-HD-A-2'
		15	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
14	'H-A-HD-A-1'	15	10 - 'HS-WS-A-A'
		5	12 - 'H-A-LD-A-1'

© Mistik Management Ltd.



DEVELOPMENT TYPE CODE	DEVELOPMENT TYPE	SGR TARGET PERCENT	TRANSITION DEVELOPMENT TYPE
		65	14 - 'H-A-HD-A-1'
	'H-A-HD-A-2'	5	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
15		5	10 - 'HS-WS-A-A'
		90	15 - 'H-A-HD-A-2'
		35	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
16	'H(S)-A-LD-A'	35	10 - 'HS-WS-A-A'
		30	17 - 'H(S)-A-HD-A'
17		25	9 - 'SH-WS-A-A'
	'H(S)-A-HD-A'	25	10 - 'HS-WS-A-A'
		50	17 - 'H(S)-A-HD-A'

3.8. FOREST STAND BREAK-UP AGES

The yield curves were generated based on empirical data and the volumes start to decline at varying points in time based on the different development types. Within the Wood Supply Analysis there was a "stand break-up age" set at 400 years for all development types. If a stand in the model reaches 200 years, it's volume and seral stage will remain constant from that point on until the model chooses to harvest it.

3.8.1. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

There was a sensitivity analysis completed for the break-up ages based on two times the rotation age for each development type. The rotation age is the point where the mean annual increment (MAI) intersects with the periodic annual increment (PAI) or where the slope of the MAI is equal to zero. In certain development types the rotation age was less than the minimum harvest age. In the cases where the rotation age was less than the minimum harvest age the minimum harvest age was used as the rotation age. Table 3.8 below displays the rotation and break-up ages for each development type for the sensitivity analysis.

DEVELOPMENT TYPE CODE	DEVELOPMENT TYPE	ROTATION AGE	BREAK-UP AGE⁴
0	'non-net landbase eligible exclusions'	N/A	200
1	'S-WS-A-A'	100	200
2	'S-BS-A-A'	100	200
3	'S-JP-LD-A-1'	80	160
4	'S-JP-LD-A-2'	85	170
5	'S-JP-HD-A-1'	75	150
6	'S-JP-HD-A-2'	70	140
7	S-JP-L&M'	70	140

TABLE 3.8: DEVELOPMENT TYPE ROTATION AND BREAK-UP AGES

⁴ For some of the development types the break-up age was required to be older as there was already area within the landbase that was older than the break-up age at the onset of the modeling.



DEVELOPMENT TYPE CODE	DEVELOPMENT TYPE	ROTATION AGE	BREAK-UP AGE⁴
8	'SH-JP-A-A'	80	160
9	'SH-WS-A-A'	90	180
10	'HS-WS-A-A'	80	160
11	'HS-JP-A-A'	80	160
12	'H-A-LD-A-1'	75	150
13	'H-A-LD-A-2'	75	150
14	'H-A-HD-A-1'	75	150
15	'H-A-HD-A-2'	70	140
16	'H(S)-A-LD-A'	70	140
17	'H(S)-A-HD-A'	70	140

Following the analysis of the sensitivity run there was less than 1% change in the HVS (m³/yr) between the sensitivity run and the base forest management scenario (FMS 3).

3.9. RE-PLANNING THRESHOLD

For this FMP, consistent with Mistik's 2007 20-Year FMP, a re-planning threshold of 10% net area will be in place. In other words, if \ge 87,851 ha (~10% of the net productive landbase) is impacted by natural disturbance, whereby the age class of that area is reset to 0, it would trigger the need for re-planning. For example, if in 2020, wildfire impacts 30,000 ha of the net productive landbase, no re-planning is required because the impact is less than 87,851 ha. If in 2022, wildfire impacts an additional 60,000 ha of the net productive area, re-planning would be initiated because the cumulative impact (90,000 ha) exceeds 87,851 ha of net productive area.

3.10. NON-TIMBER OBJECTIVES

There are multiple VOITs that have been established for the Mistik and L&M FMAs through the planning process. As there are many VOITs that do not affect the WSA only the VOITs affect the WSA will be briefly described. For further description of all of the VOITs please refer to the VOITs document. The VOITs that will be included within the WSA are the spatial and temporal VOITs that are affected by the harvest patterns on the landscape.

3.10.1. SERAL STAGE

The definitions for the age criteria for the seral stages for the Mistik FMP area is displayed in Table 3.9 below.

TABLE 3.9: SERAL STAGE AGE RANGES BY SPECIES GROUP



Spacias	Seral Stage				
Group	Young	Immature	Mature	Old	Very Old
S & SH Mixedwoods	0-20 yrs	21-80 yrs	81-100 yrs	>100 yrs	>120 yrs
H & HS Mixedwoods	0-20 yrs	21-70 yrs	71-90 yrs	>90 yrs	>120 yrs

The seral stage VOITs are affected by the harvest patterns on the landscape and therefore it is necessary to include them as non-timber targets in the wood supply modeling. The two main seral stage VOITs that will be included within the model are VOITs 1.1.1.1 (2a) and 1.1.1.1 (2b). These VOITs maintain specific targeted area of old and very old forested area. The current proposed targets for these two VOITs are briefly described in Table 3.10 below. Appendix C provides further details of the process used to develop the late seral stage retention targets and the processes followed to identify and retain the highest quality stands.

TABLE 3.10: SERAL STAGE TARGETS BY SGR TYPE

SGR TYPE	TARGET (%)			
	Old Forest (VOIT 1.1.1.1 2a)	Very Old Forest (VOIT 1.1.1.1 2b) ⁵		
S-BS	≥5%	≥0.5%		
S-JP	≥5%	≥0.5%		
S-WS	≥9%	≥0.9%		
SH and HS Mixedwoods	≥10%	≥1%		
Н	≥14%	≥1.4%		

3.10.2. INBLOCK-RETENTION

The final modeled HVS for softwood and hardwood in both the Mistik and L&M FMAs will be adjusted. The final adjusted HVS is dependent on the amount of in-block retention. The in-block retention target is 6% so the final HVS will be impacted by 6% (see Table 6.3). Mistik also plans for a maximum proximal retention of 3%, however according to the 2017 Saskatchewan Forest Management Planning Standard, proximal retention is not factored into an HVS reduction.

3.10.3. EVENT SIZE

The target for the harvest event size class distribution for the FMP is that over the next 10 years, at least 25% of all harvested areas will create disturbance events at least 1,000 ha in size. This target was developed using Dr. David Andison's "Pre-Industrial Forest Condition Analysis" (Andison, 2007). The study developed the targets using the natural range of variation for the FMA area. As the process for determining the event and overall event size is dependent on GIS processing it is not controlled within the wood supply model.

⁵ Very old forest targets are a percentage of the "Old forest" targets. Example: S-BS has a target of 5% of the working forest and eligible excluded landbase. Of the 5% of old forest ≥10% must be very old forest.

3.10.4. OLD FOREST PATCH SIZE

Similar to event size the old forest patch size target was developed using Dr. David Andison's "Pre-Industrial Forest Condition Analysis" (Andison, 2007). There are three targets for old forest patch size based on the Andison analysis. These targets include:

- 1. Large Old forest Patches:
 - a. Maintain the number of old forest patches larger than 500 ha on the Mistik FMA at three or greater over the next 10 years.
- 2. Small Old forest Patches:
 - a. Maintain the proportion of old forest area in patches smaller than 50 ha between 60-75% over the next ten years.
- 3. Operable forest in Large Old forest Patches:
 - a. For the next 10 years, the proportion of operable forest in each of the five largest old forest patches shall not be less than 20%.

As the process for determining the old forest patches is dependent on GIS processing it is not controlled within the wood supply model.

3.10.5. WOODLAND CARIBOU

At the time of the Forest Estate Modeling, the caribou related VOITs had not yet been identified. To limit harvesting within known caribou-use areas, the same model constraint that was used in 2007 was applied again in the 2019 FMP Forest Estate Model as follows: within a ten year period, the total area harvested will not exceed 3% of the total area of all woodland caribou ranges combined. It should be noted that the caribou ranges used for this model constraint were the same as in the 2007 FMP. Mistik operations will comply with the final caribou VOITs as described in the VOITs document and Volume III, Appendix A.



4. LONG RUN SUSTAINED YIELD AVERAGE (LRSYA)

This section summarizes the procedures, results and assumptions applied in determining the sustainable harvest levels for the Mistik and L&M FMA Areas.

4.1. LONG RUN SUSTAINED YIELD AVERAGE (LRSYA)

Long Run Sustained Yield Average (LRSYA) is a measure of forest productivity and is calculated as the sum of growth per year of regenerated stands at a selected rotation age. It is derived from the theoretical concept of a regulated forest with a static and uniform age class distribution, a single rotation age, and a single yield function operating across equally productive sites. Under this assumption, the annual harvest equates to the annual growth in the selected age class. LRSYA is calculated using the following formula:

$$LRSYA = \sum_{i=1}^{k} MAI_{i} \bullet A_{i}$$

Where:

LRSYA	=	long run sustained yield average (m ³ /yr);
MAIi	=	mean annual increment (m³/ha/yr) for yield class <i>i</i> ;
Ai	=	net area (ha) for yield class <i>i</i> ;
k	=	number of yield strata.

LRSYA estimates are calculated for two scenarios. The first scenario is a "modeled" scenario where it is assumed that all stands are on a transition yield curve with the 10 cm top diameter utilization standard. This assumption is to address the effect of silviculture by regenerating low density sites after harvest to the modeled transitions. The second scenario is a "status quo" scenario where it is assumed that all stands will transition back to their current yield curve with the 10 cm top diameter utilization standard following harvest.

The LRSYA estimates for a modelled transition and status quo transition assumptions are provided for the Mistik FMA Area in Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 and for the L&M FMA Area in Table 4.3 and Table 4.4. Modelled transitions refer to the transitions used in the timber supply model. Status quo transitions refer to yield curves remaining the same as they currently are.

For the purposes of this Wood Supply Analysis, LRSYA estimates are consistently based off an 80 year rotation age for all development types. The following factors were considered when selecting the rotation age:

- 10 development types, representing 75% of the productive area, have a Mistik Suggested Rotation Age (Appendix A: Rotation Age Analysis) of 80 years (90% of the area is within 1 age class of 80);
- The total area weighted peak MAI is 70 years for all development types. This however was determined by Mistik to be too short considering piece size requirements etc. A rotation age for LRSYA estimates of 80 years is only one age class from the area weighted average peak MAI and more consistent with management objectives.

TABLE 4.1: Net LRSYA Estimates	: "Modeled"	'Regeneration	Transition -	Mistik
FMA Area		-		

Development	Net Area	MAI ⁶ (m³/ha/yr) @ 80 Years ⁷		LRSYA ⁸ (m ³ /y	r) @ 80 Years
Туре	(ha)	Softwood	Hardwood	Softwood	Hardwood
1 S-WS-A-A	20,052	2.16	0.56	43,248	11,229
2 S-BS-A-A	23,684	0.81	0.16	19,225	3,697
3 S-JP-LD-A-1	94,548	1.06	0.22	99,888	20,388
4 S-JP-LD-A-2	29,871	1.77	0.33	52,945	9,850
5 S-JP-HD-A-1	101,109	1.24	0.22	125,777	22,352
6 S-JP-HD-A-2	57,705	2.25	0.38	129,832	21,978
7 S-JP-L&M	0	0	0.20	0	0
8 SH-JP-A-A	46,711	1.05	1.18	49,097	54,929
9 SH-WS-A-A	48,507	1.54	1.44	74,820	69,763
10 HS-WS-A-A	50,345	1.10	1.61	55,261	81,177
11 HS-JP-A-A	38,209	0.88	1.48	33,653	56,542
12 H-A-LD-A-1	16,625	0.43	2.08	7,072	34,564
13 H-A-LD-A-2	27,589	0.41	2.52	11,329	69,603
14 H-A-HD-A-1	61,877	0.43	2.08	26,323	128,647
15 H-A-HD-A-2	124,471	0.19	2.81	23,483	350,107
16 H(S)-A-LD-A	29,848	0.98	1.82	29,394	54,206
17 H(S)-A-HD-A	46,135	0.84	1.97	38,898	90,673
Total	817,284			820,244	1,079,706

TABLE 4.2: Net LRSYA Estimates: "Status Quo" Regeneration Transition – Mistik **FMA** Area

Development	Net Area	t Area MAI ⁶ (m³/ha/yr) @ 80 Years ⁷ LRSYA ⁸ (m³/yr) @ 80)		r) @ 80 Years	
Туре	(ha)	Softwood	Hardwood	Softwood	Hardwood
1 S-WS-A-A	20,052	2.16	0.56	43,248	11,225
2 S-BS-A-A	23,684	0.66	0.11	15,686	2,635
3 S-JP-LD-A-1	94,548	0.71	0.12	67,391	11,513
4 S-JP-LD-A-2	29,871	1.25	0.17	37,426	5,041
5 S-JP-HD-A-1	101,109	1.25	0.14	126,232	13,880
6 S-JP-HD-A-2	57,705	2.21	0.31	127,327	18,168
7 S-JP-L&M	0	2.37	0.20	0	0
8 SH-JP-A-A	46,711	1.20	0.98	56,213	45,552
9 SH-WS-A-A	48,507	1.70	1.49	82,252	72,312
10 HS-WS-A-A	50,345	0.70	1.69	35,190	85,261
11 HS-JP-A-A	38,209	0.37	1.38	14,297	52,736
12 H-A-LD-A-1	16,625	0.09	2.22	1,578	36,975
13 H-A-LD-A-2	27,589	0.03	2.58	907	71,069
14 H-A-HD-A-1	61,877	0.09	2.29	5,851	141,862
15 H-A-HD-A-2	124,471	0.08	2.95	9,532	366,989
16 H(S)-A-LD-A	29,848	0.50	1.73	14,987	51,612
17 H(S)-A-HD-A	46,135	0.49	2.34	22,557	107,893
Total	817,284			660,674	1,094,722

⁶ MAI includes cull deductions (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood) and is based off the 10 cm top diameter utilization standard yield curves. ⁷ MAI's for Softwood and Hardwood in the modeled transitions are weighted averages based on the transition percentages

⁸ Minor differences in LRSYA calculations are a result of rounding.

TABLE 4.3: Net LRSYA Estimates:	"Modeled"	Regeneration	Transition – L&M FMA
Area		-	

Development	Net Area MAI ⁹ (m³/ha/yr) @ 80 Years ¹⁰ LRSYA ¹¹ (m³/y		MAI ⁹ (m³/ha/yr) @ 80 Years ¹⁰		vr) @ 80 Years
Туре	(ha)	Softwood	Hardwood	Softwood	Hardwood
1 S-WS-A-A	2,963	2.16	0.56	6,391	1,659
2 S-BS-A-A	10,910	0.81	0.16	8,856	1,703
3 S-JP-LD-A-1	0	1.06	0.22	0	0
4 S-JP-LD-A-2	0	1.77	0.33	0	0
5 S-JP-HD-A-1	0	1.24	0.22	0	0
6 S-JP-HD-A-2	0	2.25	0.38	0	0
7 S-JP-L&M	17,962	0	0.20	42,503	3,647
8 SH-JP-A-A	7,334	1.05	1.18	7,708	8,624
9 SH-WS-A-A	3,266	1.54	1.44	5,038	4,697
10 HS-WS-A-A	4,033	1.10	1.61	4,426	6,502
11 HS-JP-A-A	3,976	0.88	1.48	3,502	5,884
12 H-A-LD-A-1	570	0.43	2.08	243	1,186
13 H-A-LD-A-2	1,018	0.41	2.52	418	2,568
14 H-A-HD-A-1	2,362	0.43	2.08	1,005	4,911
15 H-A-HD-A-2	3,546	0.19	2.81	669	9,973
16 H(S)-A-LD-A	1,257	0.98	1.82	1,238	2,282
17 H(S)-A-HD-A	2,028	0.84	1.97	1,710	3,986
Total	61,226			83,707	57,623

TABLE 4.4: Net LRSYA Estimates: "Status Quo" Regeneration Transition – L&M **FMA** Area

Development	Net Area	MAI [®] (m³/ha/yr) @ 80 Years ¹⁰	0 Years ¹⁰ LRSYA ¹¹ (m ³ /yr) @ 80 Years	
Туре	(ha)	Softwood	Hardwood	Softwood	Hardwood
1 S-WS-A-A	2,963	2.16	0.56	6,391	1,659
2 S-BS-A-A	10,910	0.66	0.11	7,226	1,214
3 S-JP-LD-A-1	0	0.71	0.12	0	0
4 S-JP-LD-A-2	0	1.25	0.17	0	0
5 S-JP-HD-A-1	0	1.25	0.14	0	0
6 S-JP-HD-A-2	0	2.21	0.31	0	0
7 S-JP-L&M	17,962	2.37	0.20	42,503	3,647
8 SH-JP-A-A	7,334	1.20	0.98	8,826	7,152
9 SH-WS-A-A	3,266	1.70	1.49	5,538	4,869
10 HS-WS-A-A	4,033	0.70	1.69	2,819	6,829
11 HS-JP-A-A	3,976	0.37	1.38	1,488	5,488
12 H-A-LD-A-1	570	0.09	2.22	54	1,268
13 H-A-LD-A-2	1,018	0.03	2.58	33	2,622
14 H-A-HD-A-1	2,362	0.09	2.29	223	5,415
15 H-A-HD-A-2	3,546	0.08	2.95	272	10,454
16 H(S)-A-LD-A	1,257	0.50	1.73	631	2,173
17 H(S)-A-HD-A	2,028	0.49	2.34	992	4,743
Total	61,226			76,996	57,534

⁹ MAI includes cull deductions (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood) and is based off the 10 cm top diameter utilization standard yield curves. ¹⁰ MAI's for Softwood and Hardwood in the modeled transitions are weighted averages based on the transition percentages

¹¹ Minor differences in LRSYA calculations are a result of rounding.



5. WOOD SUPPLY MODEL

Various forest management scenarios (FMS) were analyzed using Remsoft®, Spatial Planning System (RSPS) or formerly known as Woodstock[™] (version 2017.1). For this WSA, aspatial modeling scenarios were completed in RSPS as optimization formulas with one objective function (e.g. maximize total volume, maximize conifer volume, etc.). Other constraints were placed on the model in order to achieve the desired future forest. The resulting linear programming matrix



(aspatial solution) created by RSPS was solved using MOSEK, an interior point LP solver (version 7.0.).

The model simulates the effect of management strategies on sustainable harvest levels over a specified planning horizon. In its most basic form, RSPS is a model which cuts and grows each stand in the forest, according to user-defined yield functions and forest policy constraints. Operating unit sequencing can also be introduced to reflect "real-world" limitations, such as accessibility and multi-pass harvesting rules.

As the model is aspatial, it is necessary to create a spatial link to the planning layer for the planning horizon. Therefore, the aspatial solution generated in RSPS is run through Remsoft's Spatial Optimizer (formerly known as STANLEY). The Spatial Optimizer uses the solution and the spatial planning layer (shapefile) within RSPS to make the solution spatial. Within the Spatial Optimizer, the user is able to apply adjacency or proximity constraints, green-up delays, etc. in order to:

- Control the distribution (or concentration) of the harvest, and;
- > Mimic operational planning strategies.

5.1. WOOD SUPPLY MODEL PARAMETERS

RSPS is comprised of several "sections" which are used to setup the parameters for the wood supply. These sections are described in detail in the modeling assumptions document. One of the sections within the modeling assumptions document describes the "THEMEs" utilized in the wood supply model. Following the submission of the modeling assumptions document there were updates to THEME 7 related to the tactical plan. The updated THEME 7 values and descriptions can be found in Table 5.1 below.

TABLE 5.1: THEME 7 DESCRIPTION

THEME 7 VALUE	DESCRIPTION
OF	Area identified as old forest and not available for harvest in the first 20 years
P1	Area in planned blocks outside the tactical plan
P1T1	Area in planned blocks and the first priority tactical plan (T1)
P1T2	Area in planned blocks and the second priority tactical plan (T2)
T1	Area available for harvest within the first priority tactical plan
T2	Area available for harvest within the second priority tactical plan

The basic parameters are described below and the standard run control parameters used in analysis are defined Table 5.2.

5.1.1. BASIC PARAMETERS

The following standard assumptions will be used within all of the FMS in the WSA:

- 200 year planning horizon (40 five year periods = 200 years)
- Yield Curves described in Section 3.2
- Development type transitions described in Section 3.7
- Minimum harvest ages described in Section 3.5 (Operability limits)
- Cull deductions described in Section 3.4

TABLE 5.2: HARVEST SIMULATION CONTROL PARAMETER DEFINITIONS USED IN ANALYSIS

PARAMETER	DEFINITION
Objective:	Description of the objective function utilized in the scenario
Model Constraints:	Description of the constraints employed in the model in the specific scenario
Effective Date:	The effective date of the landbase (i.e. the year the latest updates were made)
Harvest Unit:	Description of the area(s) included within the specific scenario
Planning horizon:	Total time period for the analysis scenario (years)
Minimum harvest age:	Minimum age (years) of stands that are eligible for harvest scheduling; may vary by yield stratum ¹²
Landbase:	Landbase available for analysis
Yield curves:	Predicted yields for individual strata
Cull deductions:	Percent reduction of predicted yields to account for losses from defects
Regeneration transition:	Assumptions applied for the regeneration of stands scheduled for harvest ¹³
Regeneration lag:	Assumed time period for the establishment of regeneration after harvest
Introduce harvest plans:	Incorporation of existing harvest plans into the harvest sequence

¹² Appendix A – Rotation Age Analysis

¹³ Appendix B – Mistik FMA Area Development Type Transitions



5.2. MODEL PRIORITIES

The following section outlines how various priorities were evaluated or considered.

5.2.1. PRODUCTIVE VERSUS NON-PRODUCTIVE LAND

The area utilized within the model included the net productive area and the eligible exclusions of each FMA (Table 2.2). The net productive area is the area available for timber harvesting activities. Consistent with the process agreed to and followed for the 2007 FMP, the eligible exclusions include forested areas that are in buffers and operational constraints. Eligible exclusions are included within the model to contribute to area within seral stage classes.

5.2.2. MATURE AND IMMATURE FOREST

Immature forested areas was not considered in the model as a constraint. Old and very old forest was constrained and described in section 3.10.1. These areas are reported on within the seral stage reporting.

5.2.3. FOREST AGE

The forest age is utilized in the model when dealing with the operability limits and in calculating the seral stage.

5.2.4. VOLUME

The total harvested volume (softwood volume + hardwood volume) is the key driver in the model. The objective function for many of the scenarios explored was to maximize the total harvested volume.

5.2.5. PROXIMITY TO MILL

The proximity of stands to the mill is not a limiting factor within the model. There is no constraint related to the proximity to the mill. The hauling distance to the mills is a metric that is reported on in the forest management scenario results.

5.2.6. RECENT BURNS

Recent burns were included in the landbase during the forest characterization. The landbase developed during the forest characterization serves as the base for the modeled landbase.



5.2.7. INSECT AND DISEASE

Insects and disease infestations are not evaluated or considered within the model. In the event that an infestation occurs it will be reported on. It should be noted that endemic insect and disease damage is implicitly factored into the empirical yield curves.

5.2.8. SOCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Social considerations area not considered in the wood supply model and will be handled at the operational level.

5.2.8.1. VISUALLY SENSITIVE AREAS

Visual buffers were not included within the wood supply model. These will be handled at the operational level.

5.2.8.2. PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

Input received through the public engagement process will be handled at the operational level.

5.2.9. ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE

Roads are not utilized within the wood supply model. Road infrastructure being developed for the tactical plan will be supplied with the tactical plan.

5.2.10. FOREST ECONOMICS

Forest economics was not evaluated or considered within the wood supply model.

5.3. MODEL LIMITATIONS

It is important to outline that as with any model there can be uncertainties associated with the model or the model inputs that may impact the results. There have been efforts to reduce the amount of uncertainties associated with this model. For instance, the yield curves and the transitions utilized within the model have been monitored and validated over the previous FMP. This reduces any uncertainties with respect to the growth and yield of the forested stands across the landscape being utilized in the model.

5.4. NATURAL DISTURBANCE RISKS

Natural disturbances such as fire, insect and disease, and wind are not included within the model. If a natural disturbance event takes place within either FMA the HVS could be impacted. The extent to which the timber supply is impacted would depend on the size of the natural



disturbance event. If the event is larger than the re-planning threshold identified in section 3.9 then there may be the need to re-run the WSA to determine the HVS.

5.5. SCENARIOS EXPLORED

The following Forest Management Scenarios (FMS) in Table 5.3 were explored in the WSA to determine the final selected management strategy. The results of each FMS is displayed in further detail in sections 5.5.1 to 5.5.10. The sensitivity of each non-timber target can be determined by comparing a particular scenario to the previous scenario, with the exception of FMS 6, which should be compared to FMS 3 (Maximize Total Volume). For example, the sensitivity of the Mistik softwood HVS to the caribou range constraint (FMS 3 minus FMS 6) is 5,963 m³/yr (528,940 - 522,977). As another example, the sensitivity of the L&M softwood HVS to the seral stage constraint (FMS 6 minus FMS 7) is 5,097 m³/yr (70,481 - 65,384).

FOREST MANAGEMENT SCENARIOS		MISTIK		L&M	
SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION	SOFTWOOD HVS (M ³ /YR)	HARDWOOD HVS (M ³ /YR)	SOFTWOOD HVS (M ³ /YR)	HARDWOOD HVS (M ³ /YR)
FMS 1	Maximize total volume (10 cm)	653,245	1,089,256	84,238	54,523
FMS 2	Maximize total volume (7.5 cm)	828,876	1,091,949	98,900	54,398
FMS 3	Maximize total volume	528,940	1,083,832	70,481	54,794
FMS 4	Maximize hardwood volume	521,972	1,085,299	67,315	55,845
FMS 5	Maximize softwood volume	531,769	1,001,387	70,749	53,608
FMS 6	Maximize total volume with caribou range constraint	522,977	1,082,919	70,481	54,794
FMS 7	Maximize total volume with caribou and seral stage constraints	485,467	1,013,815	65,384	52,067
FMS 8	Maximize total volume with caribou, seral stage, and old forest constraints	472,738	1,005,514	66,992	52,214
FMS 9	Maximize total volume with caribou, seral stage, old forest, and planned block constraints	470,864	1,001,443	67,118	50,687
FMS 10	Maximize total volume with caribou, seral stage, old forest, planned and tactical block constraints	467,895	1,000,548	66,577	49,942
FMS 11	Maximize total volume with caribou, seral stage, old forest, planned and tactical block constraints with L&M black spruce constraint	467,896	1,000,545	66,591	49,928

TABLE 5.3: FOREST MANAGEMENT SCENARIOS EXPLORED



FOREST MANAGEMENT SCENARIOS		MISTIK		L&M	
SCENARIO	DESCRIPTION	SOFTWOOD HVS (M ³ /YR)	HARDWOOD HVS (M ³ /YR)	SOFTWOOD HVS (M ³ /YR)	HARDWOOD HVS (M ³ /YR)
FMS 11 (12.7 CM, SPATIAL)	Maximize total volume with caribou, seral stage, old forest, planned and tactical block constraints with L&M black spruce constraint (12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards)	467,646	999,753	66,552	49,899
FMS 11 (10 CM, SPATIAL, SMS) ¹⁴	Maximize total volume with caribou, seral stage, old forest, planned and tactical block constraints with L&M black spruce constraint (12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards)	549,986	999,753	79,429	49,899

¹⁴ Following the original submission of the FEM document there was a decision to move back to the 10 cm top diameter utilization standards. FMS 11 was adjusted to utilize the 10 cm top diameter yield curves. This adjustment did not involve selecting new blocks but rather the volume of the already selected blocks.


5.5.1. FMS 1 TOTAL VOLUME (10 CM)

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 1 is a single landbase approach for each FMA that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.4. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.1. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence.

TABLE 5.4: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 1

FMS 1: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME (10 CM)	
CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Net yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 10 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Not applied



FIGURE 5.1: RESULTS - FMS 1 TOTAL VOLUME (10 CM)









5.5.2. FMS 2 TOTAL VOLUME (7.5 CM)

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 2 is a single landbase approach for each FMA that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.5. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.2. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence.

TABLE 5.5: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 2

FMS 2: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME (7.5 CM)	
CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Net yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 7.5 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Not applied



FIGURE 5.2: RESULTS - FMS 2 TOTAL VOLUME (7.5 CM)









5.5.3. FMS 3 TOTAL VOLUME

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 3 is a single landbase approach for each FMA that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.6. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.3. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence.

TABLE 5.6: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 3

FMS 3: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME	
CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Net yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Not applied



FIGURE 5.3: RESULTS - FMS 3 TOTAL VOLUME









5.5.4. FMS 4 HARDWOOD

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 4 is a single landbase approach that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.7. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.4. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence.

TABLE 5.7: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 4

FMS 4: MAXIMIZE HARDWOOD VOLUME	
CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize hardwood volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Net yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Not applied



FIGURE 5.4: RESULTS – FMS 4 HARDWOOD









5.5.5. FMS 5 SOFTWOOD

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 5 is a single landbase approach that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.8. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.5. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence.

TABLE 5.8: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 5

FMS 5: MAXIMIZE SOFTWOOD VOLUME	
CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize softwood volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Net yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Not applied



FIGURE 5.5: RESULTS – FMS 5 SOFTWOOD









5.5.6. FMS 6 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU CONSTRAINTS

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 6 is a single landbase approach that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.9. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.6. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence.

FMS 6: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU CONSTRAINTS	
CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA
	area3) Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas
	 ≤3% of the 2006 caribou range can be harvested per decade
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Net yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Not applied

TABLE 5.9: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 6



FIGURE 5.6: RESULTS – FMS 6 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU CONSTRAINTS









5.5.7. FMS 7 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU AND SERAL STAGE CONSTRAINTS

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 7 is a single landbase approach that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.10. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.7. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence.

FMS 7: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU AND SERAL STAGE	
CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas ≤3% of the 2006 caribou range can be harvested per decade Old and Very old seral stage constraints applied based on targets in VOITs 2a and 2b¹⁵.
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Not applied

TABLE 5.10: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 7

¹⁵ See Appendix C for further details on specific seral stage targets



FIGURE 5.7: RESULTS – FMS 7 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU AND SERAL STAGE CONSTRAINTS









5.5.8. FMS 8 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, AND OLD FOREST CONSTRAINTS

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 8 is a single landbase approach that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.11. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.8. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence.

FMS 6: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, AND OLD FOREST	
CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas ≤3% of the 2006 caribou range can be harvested per decade Old and Very old seral stage constraints applied based on targets in VOITs 2a and 2b No identified old forest will be harvested in years 1-20
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Not applied

TABLE 5.11: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 8



FIGURE 5.8: RESULTS – FMS 8 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, AND OLD FOREST CONSTRAINTS









5.5.9. FMS 9 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST CONSTRAINTS AND PLANNED BLOCKS

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 9 is a single landbase approach that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.12. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.9. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence. Planned blocks were forced through the model in this scenario. This was done regardless of operable age or volume as it was assumed these planned blocks had been confirmed to meet criteria for harvesting.

TABLE 5.12: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 9

FMS 9: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST, AND PLANNED	
BLOCKS	

CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas ≤3% of the 2006 caribou range can be harvested per decade Old and Very old seral stage constraints applied based on targets in VOITs 2a and 2b No identified old forest will be harvested in years 1-20
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Planned blocks applied



FIGURE 5.9: RESULTS – FMS 9 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST CONSTRAINTS AND PLANNED BLOCKS









5.5.10. FMS 10 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST CONSTRAINTS AND PLANNED/TACTICAL BLOCKS

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 10 is a single landbase approach that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.13. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.10. This FMS is used for sensitivity analysis only and does not determine the final HVS or harvest sequence. The tactical plan was forced through the model in this scenario. This was done regardless of operable age or volume as the Tactical Plan was used during the consultation process and Mistik and L&M will be measured with respect to adherence to it.

TABLE 5.13: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 10

FMS 10: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST AND PLANNED/TACTICAL BLOCKS	
CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas ≤3% of the 2006 caribou range can be harvested per decade Old and Very old seral stage constraints applied based on targets in VOITs 2a and 2b No identified old forest will be harvested in years 1-20
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Planned and tactical blocks applied





∭ silvacom™







5.5.11. FMS 11 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST, L&M BLACK SPRUCE CONSTRAINTS, AND PLANNED/TACTICAL BLOCKS

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 11 is a single landbase approach that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.14. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.11. This FMS was determined to be the selected management strategy (SMS) as it maintained the desired harvest flows while also satisfying the non-timber constraints.

TABLE 5.14: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 11

FMS 11: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST, BLACK SPRUCE AND PLANNED/TACTICAL BLOCKS CONSTRAINTS

CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas ≤3% of the 2006 caribou range can be harvested per decade Old and Very old seral stage constraints applied based on targets in VOITs 2a and 2b No identified old forest will be harvested in years 1-20 Limit black spruce harvest to ≤ 30,000 m³/yr in L&M
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Planned and tactical blocks applied



FIGURE 5.11: RESULTS – FMS 11 TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST, BLACK SPRUCE CONSTRAINTS AND PLANNED/TACTICAL BLOCKS



∭ silvacom™







5.5.12. FMS 11 (SPATIAL, 12.7 CM TOP DIAMETER) TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST, L&M BLACK SPRUCE CONSTRAINTS, AND PLANNED/TACTICAL BLOCKS

Forest Management Scenario (FMS) 11 is a single landbase approach that maintains an even flow of softwood and hardwood volumes for the entire planning horizon. The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 5.14. The results of the strategy are illustrated in Figure 5.11. This FMS was determined to be the selected management strategy (SMS) as it maintained the desired harvest flows while also satisfying the non-timber constraints.

TABLE 5.15: CONTROL PARAMETERS - FMS 11 (12.7 CM, SPATIAL)

FMS 11: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST, BLACK SPRUCE AND PLANNED/TACTICAL BLOCKS CONSTRAINTS (12.7 CM, SPATIAL

CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas ≤3% of the 2006 caribou range can be harvested per decade Old and Very old seral stage constraints applied based on targets in VOITs 2a and 2b No identified old forest will be harvested in years 1-20 Limit black spruce harvest to ≤ 30,000 m³/yr in L&M
Effective Date	2015
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Planning horizon:	200 yrs
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas
Yield curves:	Yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 12.7 cm top diameter utilization standards
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules
Regeneration lag:	Not applied
Introduce harvest plans:	Planned and tactical blocks applied



FIGURE 5.12: RESULTS – FMS 11 SPATIAL WITH 12.7 CM TOP DIAMETER








5.6. TACTICAL PLAN

The purpose of the tactical plan is to provide the general public, Saskatchewan Environment, Mistik, and L&M with a clear definition of the location, extent and profile of forest stands potentially scheduled for harvest and the location of the supporting access network that is potentially scheduled for construction within the active portion of the 2017 20-Year FMP (2017 to 2037). The tactical plan also provides a critical linkage between the strategic-level modeled Selected Management Strategy and actual operational plans.

Mistik and L&M have designed a tactical harvest and access plan (Map 5.1) for the period 2017 to 2037 comprised of two harvest pools (T1 and T2). For each of the two harvest pools (referred to as 'T1' and 'T2', respectively), Mistik and L&M have planned more area and volume than will be harvested. The additional planned area and volume allows for required flexibility in selection of harvest locations. In the wood supply model, a priority was given to the T1 harvest pool to be harvested first.

A profile of the tactical plan is presented in Figure 5.13 with the volumes, areas, and age class¹⁶ distribution by T1 and T2.

In addition to the tactical plan there were some planned blocks in both Mistik and L&M that were outside of the tactical plan (Table 5.16).

Planned Block Summary							
Mistik L&M							
Planned Area (ha)	837	147					
Planned Current Softwood Volume (m ³)	37,913	10,256					
Planned Current Hardwood Volume (m ³)	48,803	4,277					

TABLE 5.16: PLANNED BLOCK AREA AND VOLUME SUMMARY

¹⁶ Age class distributions were created using the modeled landbase areas



FIGURE 5.13: TACTICAL PLAN PROFILE

HVS Summary Table		Tactical Plan Initial Development Type Distribution							
				T1 Are	a (ha)	T2 Are	ea (ha)	Total Ar	ea (ha)
	Mistik	L&M	Development Type	Mistik	L&M	Mistik	L&M	Mistik	L&M
			No Development Type	17,899	849	9,090	1,254	26,989	2,103
			1 S-WS-A-A	4,697	1,143	2,436	493	7,159	1,636
			2 S-BS-A-A	644	2,533	387	1,804	1,046	4,338
Tactical Plan Area (ha)	T1 - 140,137 T2 - 78,218	T1 - 18,497 T2 - 12,126	3 S-JP-LD-A-1	4,744	0	3,733	0	8,478	0
			4 S-JP-LD-A-2	4,763	0	2,738	0	7,501	0
			5 S-JP-HD-A-1	6,469	0	5,784	0	12,254	0
			6 S-JP-HD-A-2	9,719	0	6,376	0	16,114	0
	T1 - 5,586,622 T2 - 3,688,602	T1 - 1,985,417 T2 - 1,087,511	7 S-JP-L&M	0	6,681	0	4,046	0	10,726
			8 SH-JP-A-A	3,148	1,605	2,100	783	5,253	2,388
Tactical Plan Current			9 SH-WS-A-A	3,000	828	1,873	326	4,867	1,154
Softwood Volume (m ³)			10 HS-WS-A-A	8,532	1,438	4,091	477	12,653	1,915
. ,			11 HS-JP-A-A	3,393	1,128	2,535	422	5,940	1,549
			12 H-A-LD-A-1	3,355	41	2,000	259	5,341	301
			13 H-A-LD-A-2	8,933	276	3,908	446	12,840	722
Tactical Plan Current Hardwood			14 H-A-HD-A-1	10,736	357	6,489	497	17,199	855
	T1 - 15 773 412	T1 - 1 101 367	15 H-A-HD-A-2	29,843	898	14,256	523	44,116	1,421
	T2 - 8,320,060	T2 - 739,050	16 H(S)-A-LD-A	7,484	377	3,223	353	10,707	730
volume (m ²)			17 H(S)-A-HD-A	12,779	342	7,199	443	19,978	785
			Total Area (ha)	140,137	18,497	78,218	12,126	218,438	30,622



MISTIK MANAGEMENT LTD. 2019 FOREST ESTATE MODELING





© Mistik Management Ltd.

March 2019







6. SELECTED MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

The Forest Management Scenario (FMS) that has been identified as the Selected Management Strategy (SMS) for the Mistik FMP area was chosen on its ability to achieve specific goals and objectives by the planning team. This section displays how the SMS (FMS 11 with 10 cm top diameter utilization standards) harvest sequence and modeled management actions fulfill these goals and objectives as well as the required outputs described in the 2017 Forest Management Planning Standard.

6.1. SPATIAL PARAMETERS

The FMS that was selected as the SMS by the planning team was FMS 11. However, RSPS provides the optimal solution by analyzing a complex set of problems directed towards achieving the desired future forest conditions; RSPS solutions are aspatial. Spatial Optimizer on the other hand, implements RSPS solutions spatially, subject to any additional spatial constraints. As it was necessary for the Natural Forest Patterns to have a spatial assignment of the harvest schedule it was necessary to implement the RSPS solution within Spatial Optimizer.

The harvest sequence was constrained in Spatial Optimizer by several factors outlined in Table 6.1.

HARVEST SEQUENCE ASSUMPTIONS						
Goal:	Assess the spatial harvesting sequence of the timber supply model					
SMS Scenario Description	FMS 11 – Maximize Total Volume, Even flow harvest, Non Declining GS, Force Planned and Tactical Blocks, Seral Stage, Caribou, and Old Forest, and Black Spruce Constraints					
Spatial Simulation length	70 year					
Minimum block size	NONE*					
Target block size	50 ha					
Maximum block size	1,000 ha					

TABLE 6.1: SPATIAL RULES FOR SPATIAL OPTIMIZER RUN

*As the tactical plan was already incorporated within the model a minimum block size was not assigned.

Following the assignment of the harvest schedule to polygons using Spatial Optimizer it was necessary to run the results back through RSPS to update the harvest profiles. The following model parameters in Table 6.2 were the settings used in RSPS to produce the final harvest profiles (Figure 6.1, Figure 6.2, and Figure 6.3).



6.2. MODEL PARAMETERS

The parameter settings used in the analysis of this scenario are displayed in Table 6.2.

TABLE 6.2: CONTROL PARAMETERS - SMS TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERALSTAGE, OLD FOREST CONSTRAINTS AND THE PLANNED/TACTICAL BLOCKS

SMS: MAXIMIZE TOTAL VOLUME WITH CARIBOU, SERAL STAGE, OLD FOREST AND PLANNED/TACTICAL BLOCKS WITH 12.7 CM TOP DIAMETER

CONTROL PARAMETER	PARAMETER SETTING				
Objective:	Maximize total volume harvested over the planning horizon				
Model constraints:	 Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the Mistik FMA area Even flow softwood and hardwood volume harvest for the L&M FMA area Non-declining softwood and hardwood operable growing stock in both the Mistik and L&M FMA areas ≤3% of the 2006 caribou range can be harvested per decade Old and Very old seral stage constraints applied based on targets in VOITs 2a and 2b No identified old forest will be harvested in years 1-20 Limit black spruce harvest to ≤ 30,000 m³/yr in L&M 				
Effective Date	2015				
Harvest unit:	Mistik and L&M FMA areas				
Planning horizon:	200 yrs				
Minimum harvest age:	 100 Years- Black and White Spruce Softwood 70 Years- Jack Pine Softwood 80 Years- Jack Pine Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 90 Years- Spruce Leading Softwood Mixedwood (SH) 80 Years- Jack Pine and Spruce Deciduous Mixedwood (HS) 70 Years- Hardwood 				
Landbase:	2016 submitted landbase which includes both Mistik and L&M FMA areas				
Yield curves:	Yield curves (17 yield curves/development types) based on 10 cm top diameter utilization standards				
Cull deductions:	Applied to yield curves (1.5% Softwood, 7.4% Hardwood)				
Regeneration transition:	SGR transition rules				
Regeneration lag:	Not applied				
Introduce harvest plans:	Planned and tactical blocks applied				

6.3. HARVEST PROFILE

The spatial harvest volume results of the SMS for both Mistik and L&M are displayed in Figure 6.1 below.

FIGURE 6.1: HARVEST VOLUME RESULTS – SELECTED MANAGEMENT STRATEGY





MISTIK MANAGEMENT LTD. 2019 FOREST ESTATE MODELING







6.3.1. HARVEST PROFILE BY PLANNING UNIT

The following figure displays the harvest profile (HVS) for each planning unit within the plan area.

FIGURE 6.2: SMS HARVEST VOLUME RESULTS BY PLANNING UNIT

SELECTED MANAGEMENT STRATEGY					
PLANNING UN					
PLANNING UNIT	METRIC				
	Net Productive Area	99,326 ha			
DIVIDE	Average SWD HVS Level	63,198 m³/yr			
	Average HWD HVS Level	179,921 m³/yr			
	Net Productive Area	137,558 ha			
WEST	Average SWD HVS Level	90,024 m³/yr			
	Average HWD HVS Level	222,096 m³/yr			
	Net Productive Area	305,333 ha			
CENTRAL	Average SWD HVS Level	218,605 m³/yr			
	Average HWD HVS Level	355,533 m³/yr			
	Net Productive Area	275,066 ha			
NORTH	Average SWD HVS Level	178,141 m³/yr			
	Average HWD HVS Level	242,203 m³/yr			
	Net Productive Area	61,226 ha			
L & M	Average SWD HVS Level	79,429 m³/yr			
	Average HWD HVS Level	49,899 m³/yr			
DIVIDE HARVEST FLOWS (M ³ /YR)	WEST HARVEST FLOWS	(M ³ /YR)			
400,000 350,000 250,000 150,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 5	500,000 400,000 300,000 200,000 100,000 0 5 か か か か か か か か か	⁵ ψ ⁵ ⁴			





6.3.2. RETENTION ADJUSTMENT

As previously mentioned, the retention is being applied as an HVS adjustment. The table below outlines the modeled HVS for softwood and hardwood from the SMS in both the Mistik and L&M FMAs along with the adjusted HVS following retention adjustment. Based on the VOIT¹⁷ there is a minimum of 4% in block retention with proximal retention. The final adjusted HVS is dependent on the amount of in-block versus proximal retention.

TABLE 6.3: MISTIK AND L&M HVS WITH RET	FENTION
----------------------------------------	----------------

	Harvest Volume Schedule (m ³ /yr)							
Retention Adjustment	Mi	istik	L&M					
	Softwood	Hardwood	Softwood	Hardwood				
Modeled HVS	549,986	999,753	79,429	49,899				
Modeled HVS with 4% Retention	527,987	959,763	76,252	47,903				

¹⁷ VOIT #4: Tree retention after harvest. Described in the 2019 Values, Objectives, Indicators, and Targets document.



6.3.3. HVS AND HVS PULP SUMMARY

As requested by the MOE, the following summary outlines the saw log, pulp, and total volumes for both hardwood and softwood for each company based on the selected management strategy. The final softwood saw log HVS is calculated from reducing the retention and then applying the factor for softwood degrade (Table 6.4). The volume-weighted softwood saw log degrade is 12% for Mistik and 9% for L&M (see Volume III, Section 2.3.1, for more details). The final softwood pulp HVS is calculated from the combination of the volume removed from the softwood degrade and tops / additional merchantable trees (Table 6.4). The tops / additional merchantable trees volume was calculated using a ration based on the softwood HVS of Scenario 2 (7.5 cm top) versus the softwood HVS of Scenario 1 (10 cm top). The ratio between the Mistik softwood HVS of the two scenarios is 26.89% and between the L&M softwood HVS is 17.41%.

	Misti	k FMA HVS (r	n³/yr)	L&M FMA HVS (m³/yr)			
Result	Softwood Sawlog	Softwood Pulp	Hardwood	Softwood Sawlog	Softwood Pulp	Hardwood	
SMS Model Result	549,986	N/A	999,753	79,429	N/A	49,899	
Reduction for Insular Retention (4%)	-21,999	N/A	-39,990	-3,177	N/A	-1,996	
Weighted Average Degrade (Mistik: 12%, L&M 9%)	-63,358	63 <i>,</i> 358	N/A	-6,863	6,863	N/A	
Tops (10cm to 8cm) and additional merch. trees	N/A	124,920	N/A	N/A	12,077	N/A	
Final HVS (m ³ /yr)	464,628	188,278	959,763	69,389	18,940	47,903	

TABLE 6.4: SAW LOG AND PULP

6.4. FUTURE FOREST CONDITION

Table 6.3 through Table 6.7 display the species group and age class distribution of the entire Mistik FMA net productive area for the current forest and into the future as modeled in the Selected Management Strategy for years 0 (current), 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200. Table 6.8 displays the operable area for years 0 (current), 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200 in the Mistik FMA. Table 6.9 through Table 6.11 display the species group and age class distribution of the entire L&M FMA net productive area for the current forest and into the future as modeled in the Selected Management Strategy for years 0 (current), 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200. Table 6.12 displays the operable area years 0 (current), 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200. Table 6.12 displays the operable area years 0 (current), 10, 20, 50, 100, and 200 in the L&M FMA.





MISTIK MANAGEMENT LTD. 2019 FOREST ESTATE MODELING

TABLE 6.7: MISTIK AGE CLASS DISTRIBUTION BY SPECIES GROUP FOR THE OPERABLE AREA: YEAR 100 AND YEAR200



TABLE 6.8: MISTIK SMS OPERABLE AREA BY SPECIES GROUP

	Time Period Area (ha)							
Species Group	Current Forest	Year 10	Year 20	Year 50	Year 100	Year 200		
Softwood	54,651	67,051	103,279	54,925	80,283	112,207		
Softwood Dominated Mixedwood	6,045	6,243	16,749	16,086	24,241	22,141		
Hardwood Dominated Mixedwood	12,881	11,810	25,087	10,004	4,069	5,420		
Hardwood	88,670	109,855	147,797	66,553	45,218	17,219		
Total Area (ha)	162,247	194,959	292,912	147,568	153,811	156,987		







TABLE 6.12: L&M SMS OPERABLE AREA BY SPECIES GROUP

	Time Period Area (ha)								
Species Group	Current Forest	Year 10	Year 20	Year 50	Year 100	Year 200			
Softwood	11,795	12,820	13,064	12,144	5,976	7,400			
Softwood Dominated Mixedwood	1,970	2,500	3,614	3,171	457	680			
Hardwood Dominated Mixedwood	2,081	2,343	2,856	653	690	438			
Hardwood	4,255	4,659	4,771	841	3,561	2,424			
Total Area (ha)	20,101	22,322	24,305	16,810	10,684	10,943			



6.5. WOODLAND CARIBOU ANALYSIS

One of the targets that was utilized within the model is the total harvested area within the 2006 caribou ranges. Within a ten-year period, the total area harvested will not exceed 3% of the total area of all woodland caribou ranges combined. The following figure displays the results of the selected management strategy against the 3% target.

FIGURE 6.3: CARIBOU RANGE HARVEST





6.6. PIECE SIZE ANALYSIS

Analysis was completed to identify a piece size profile of the Selected Management Strategy 20-Year harvest sequence. The next four pages display the estimated piece size profile for the 20-year harvest sequence by 5-year period. Appendix D displays the 20-year estimated piece size of the sequence by development type. This analysis was completed using the cruising strata estimates derived from the Mistik Volume Sampling Program. Only plots that contributed to the development of the yield curves were used to determine the strata estimates. To provide better estimates at a development type resolution, some cruise stratums were further refined, including:

- Development productivity class and significant softwood identifiers were added to the hardwood stratums;
- Development productivity class was added to the softwood jack pine development types;
- Mixedwood cruise stratums were redefined as hardwood/softwood or softwood/hardwood;
- Finally, the mixedwood cruise stratums were also assigned a leading conifer of either jack pine or white spruce.



7. NATURAL FOREST PATTERNS

Natural Forest Patterns (NFP) are the natural patterns created across the forest landscape. The NFPs that were analysed within the FMP were based on both processes from the previous FMP developed by David Andison and from the planning standard.

7.1. EVENT SIZE

Harvest event size is the overall disturbance size of harvest events. The purpose of harvest event size targets is to emulate the natural disturbance size distribution across the landscape. The process to determine the range of variation of the natural and anthropogenic disturbance size distribution for the landscape is determined by the process developed by David Andison (Andison 2005, 2006a and 2006b).



FIGURE 7.1: COMBINING ADJACENT STANDS INTO A SINGLE EVENT PATCH



FIGURE 7.2: CLUSTERING OF PATCHES INTO A COMMON EVENT

The event size distribution for the SMS is summarized by the planning standard classes in Table 7.1 and Table 7.2 below.



0-100	Number	Area (ha)
0-100		
	1018	20,050
101-1500	143	40,654
1501-3500	5	9,552
3500-8000	2	11,491
>8000	0	0
	1,168	81,747
Event Size Dis	stribution	
14% 2% 50 Medium Large	24%	
	50 Medium 🔳 Large 🖣	50% Medium E Large Very Large Extremely Large



TABLE 7.2: EVENT SIZE DISTRIBUTION FOR THE SELECTED MANAGEMENT STRATEGY IN YEARS 6-10

Event Size Classes	Sizo Pongo (ha)	Even	ts
Event Size Classes	Size Kalige (lia)	Number	Area (ha)
Small	0-100	1047	16,123
Medium	101-1500	130	48,256
Large	1501-3500	5	9,990
Very Large	3500-8000	0	0
Extremely Large	>8000	0	0
Tota	1	1,182	74,369
	Event Size Di	stribution	
= Sn	13% 65% nall = Medium = Large =	22%	

As described above in section 3.10.3, the target for event size is that over the next 10 years, at least 25% of all harvested areas will create disturbance events at least 1,000 ha in size. The table below displays the area and percent of event sizes less and greater than 1,000 ha in size.

TABLE 7.3: EVENT SIZE SUMMARY YEARS 1-10

Event Size Classes	Area (ha)	Percent (%)
Under 1,000 ha	50,053	67%
Over 1,000 ha	24,315	33%
Total	74,369	100%



7.2. SERAL STAGE

As mentioned above, the seral stage strategy developed for the Mistik FMP area and implemented in the Selected Management Strategy focuses on the retention of sufficient, effective, and high quality late seral stage (old + very old) stands across the entire landbase. The strategy affects two main VOITs (VOITs 1.1.1.1 (2a) and 1.1.1.1 (2b)). These VOITs maintain specific targeted area of old and very old forested area described in section 3.10.1.

Reporting carried out on the Selected Management Strategy late seral stage retention strategy includes:

• The productive area in late seral stage trend over the entire planning horizon for each cover group: Table 7.4;

The operable and eligible non-operable productive late seral stage area by age class and cover group over the entire planning horizon: Table 7.5.



TABLE 7.4: SELECTED MANAGEMENT STRATEGY LATE SERAL STAGE PRODUCTIVE AREA RETENTION AMOUNTS



*Note: Green shading indicates that late seral threshold has been met.





© Mistik Management Ltd.

March 2019



7.3. INTERIOR OLD FOREST

The interior old forest strategy for FMA area ensures that a minimum of 20% of the old and very old forest stands in each species group will be in the interior forest condition.

Interior old forest is determined using the following process:

- Total old + very old stands are dissolved into contiguous polygons;
- "Edge effect buffer zones" for the old + very old stands are calculated:
 - 60 meters, where the adjacent area is non-forested or a forested stand that is less than 40 years old;
 - O meters, where the adjacent forest stand is ≥ 40 years and younger than mature forest (described in Table 3.9); and
 - Zero meters where the adjacent stand is mature, old or very old forest;
- The "edge effect buffer zones" are deducted from the old + very old polygons; and
- The species attributes are assigned back to the old + very old polygons with their interior forest attributes.

The figure below displays the current interior old forest and the amount of interior old forest at the end of the plan (year 20). Currently there is 20% interior old forest and by year 20 there is 27% interior old forest (Figure 7.3).



FIGURE 7.3: CURRENT AND YEAR 20 INTERIOR OLD FOREST



7.4. RETENTION

As previously mentioned, the retention is being applied as an HVS adjustment (6-9%, section 3.10.2 and 6.3.2). Table 6.3 outlines the modeled HVS for softwood and hardwood from the SMS in both the Mistik and L&M FMAs along with the adjusted HVS ranges.

7.5. OLD FOREST PATCH SIZE

As previously mentioned, the old forest patch size targets were developed using Dr. David Andison's "Pre-Industrial Forest Condition Analysis" (Andison, 2007). There are three targets for old forest patch size based on the Andison analysis. These targets include:

- 1. Large Old forest Patches:
 - a. Maintain the number of old forest patches larger than 500 ha on the Mistik FMA at three or greater over the next 10 years.
- 2. Small Old forest Patches:
 - a. The proportion of old forest area in patches smaller than 50 ha should be between 60-75% over the next ten years.
- 3. Operable forest in Large Old forest Patches:
 - a. For the next 10 years, the proportion of operable forest in each of the five largest old forest patches shall not be less than 20%.

The results of the current old forest patch size and the old forest patch size based on the first 10 years of proposed harvesting are displayed in Table 7.6 below.



TABLE 7.6: OLD FOREST PATCH SIZE DISTRIBUTION FOR THE SELECTEDMANAGEMENT STRATEGY





8. SALVAGE HARVESTING

If there is a natural disturbance event within either of the FMAs salvage harvest activities will follow the following guidelines to ensure that a portion of the harvested area remains in an unsalvaged state. This section describes Mistik's plans in the potential case of salvage harvesting but it should be noted that salvage harvesting was not included in the model.

8.1. SALVAGE HARVEST TIMING

All salvage harvesting activities will occur within two operating years of the date on which the natural disturbance occurred, unless otherwise approved in an operating plan.

8.2. SALVAGE HARVEST RETENTION CRITERIA

At a minimum, within each salvage harvest event there will be a single contiguous area covering at least 20% of the disturbance area that will be retained from harvesting activities. This retained area will be:

- Free of roads, trails and skid trails; and
- Be composed of tree species representative of the merchantable timber burned or damaged.

8.2.1. RETENTION ARRANGEMENT

For safety concerns, residuals shall be left in clumps, islands and proximal retention. As mentioned above, a single contiguous area covering at least 20% of the disturbance area shall be retained unless an alternate spatial arrangement is approved by the Forest Service Branch. The alternate spatial arrangements may:

- Be comprised of multiple discrete areas adding up to 20% of the disturbance area; and
- Vary from tree residual targets, for reasons of forest health.

8.2.2. LIVE TREE RETENTION

During salvage harvest events there will be efforts to utilize live tree retention criteria to promote the ecological integrity of regenerating stands. If there are not live trees available for retention burned or damaged trees will be used to meet the retention targets.



APPENDIX A: ROTATION AGE ANALYSIS

ROTATION AGE ANALYSIS															
		Mistik Suggested Rotation Age		Calculated Rotation Age		Literature Suggested Rotation Age									
Development Type	Strata Area (ha)	Minimum Age	Target Age	Peak MAI Age	Total Area Weighted Rotation Age	Area Weighted by Species Group Rotation Age	Reference 1 Rotation Age	Reference 2 Rotation Age	Reference 3 Rotation Age	Reference 4 Rotation Age	Reference 5 Rotation Age	Reference 6 Rotation Age	Reference 7 Rotation Age	Reference 8 Rotation Age	Reference 9 Rotation Age
1-S-WS-A-A	24,446	100	120	90	70	70	70-110	N/A	N/A	90	70-80	N/A	80	70-80	N/A
2-S-BS-A-A	23,672	100	120	60	70	70	80-130	N/A	N/A	N/A	95-132	60-120	80	75-129	60-80
3-S-JP-LD-A-1	95,057	70	80	80	70	70	50-90	N/A	N/A	N/A	60-80	N/A	80	67-77	N/A
4-S-JP-LD-A-2	30,770	70	80	80	70	70	50-90	N/A	N/A	N/A	60-80	N/A	80	67-77	N/A
5-S-JP-HD-A-1	101,989	70	80	70	70	70	50-90	N/A	N/A	N/A	60-80	N/A	80	67-77	N/A
6-S-JP-HD-A-2	62,570	70	80	70	70	70	50-90	N/A	N/A	N/A	60-80	N/A	80	67-77	N/A
7-S-JP-L&M	17,962	70	80	70	70	70	N/A								
8-SH-JP-A-A	41,834	80	90	70	70	70	50-90	N/A	N/A	N/A	60-80	N/A	80	67-77	N/A
9-SH-WS-A-A	28,780	90	100	50	70	70	70-110	N/A	N/A	90	70-80	N/A	80	70-80	N/A
10-HS-WS-A-A	46,271	80	90	60	70	60	50-90	40-60	60	N/A	N/A	45-60	60	65-77	50-65
11-HS-JP-A-A	39,573	80	90	50	70	60	50-90	40-60	60	N/A	N/A	45-60	60	65-77	50-65
12-H-A-LD-A-1	17,845	70	80	70	70	60	50-90	40-60	60	60	N/A	45-60	60	65-77	50-65
13-H-A-LD-A-2	30,323	70	80	70	70	60	50-90	40-60	60	60	N/A	45-60	60	65-77	50-65
14-H-A-HD-A-1	63,166	70	80	70	70	60	50-90	40-60	60	60	N/A	45-60	60	65-77	50-65
15-H-A-HD-A-2	129,451	70	80	60	70	60	50-90	40-60	60	60	N/A	45-60	60	65-77	50-65
16-H(S)-A-LD-A	31,872	70	80	50	70	60	50-90	40-60	60	60	N/A	45-60	60	65-77	50-65
17-H(S)-A-HD-A	50,199	70	80	60	70	60	50-90	40-60	60	60	N/A	45-60	60	65-77	50-65



References:

- 1. Harvey, B.D., Leduc, A., Gauthier, S., Bergeron, Y., 2002. Stand-landscape integration in natural disturbance-based management of southern boreal forest. Forest Ecology and Management 155, 369-385.
- 2. Spence, J.R., Langor, D. W., Niemelä, J., Cárcamo, H.A., Currie, C.R., 1996. Northern forestry and carabids: the case for concern about old-growth species. Ann. Zool. Fennici 33, 173-184.
- 3. Pothier, D., Raulier, F., Riopel, M., 2004. Ageing and decline of trembling aspen stands in Quebec. Can. J. For. Res. 34, 1251-1258.
- 4. Comeau, P.G., Kabzems, R., McClarnon, J., Heineman, J.L., 2005. Implications of selected approaches for regenerating and managing western boreal mixedwoods. Forestry Chronicle 81 (4), 559-574.
- 5. Burns, R. M., Honkala, B.H., 1990. Silvics of North America. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington, DC. Volume 1. Retrieved online at: http://www.na.fs.fed.us/pubs/silvics_manual/table_of_contents.shtm on August 3, 2006.
- 6. State of Wisconsin Department on Natural Resources, 2006. Silviculture and Forest Aesthetics Handbook. State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Madison, Wisconsin. Retrieved at http://dnr.wi.gov/org/land/forestry/publications/Handbooks/24315/ on August 3, 2006.
- 7. Liu, F., Downing, D., Foley, G., 2005. Stand succession study and its applications to forest management in Saskatchewan boreal forests: pilot project results. Final report. Timberline Forest Inventory Consultants, Edmonton, AB.
- 8. Pearson Timberline Forestry Consultants, 1994. Forest Growth and Yield Information and Knowledge. The Prince Albert Model Forest Association. Prince Albert, SK. pp.51.
- 9. Plonski, W.L. 1981. Normal yield tables (metric) for major forest species of Ontario. Forest Resources Group, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. Toronto.



APPENDIX B: DEVELOPMENT TYPE TRANSITIONS

SILVICULTURE GROUND RULES								
Saskatchewan Provincial Forest Type	Mistik Forest Development Type and Yield Curve ¹	Current Landbase Area (ha)	Minimum Harvest Age (yrs)	Transition Assumptions				
WSF (SGR 1)	#1 (S-White spruce)	23,016	80	#1 = 100%				
BS (SGR 2)	#2 (S-Black spruce)	34,594	100	#1 = 10% #2 = 90%				
JP (SGR 3)	#3 (S-Jack pine) Low Density Low Productivity	94,548	80	#3 = 35% #5 = 55% #8 = 10%				
	#4 (S-Jack pine) Low Density High Productivity	29,871	80	#4 = 35% #6 = 55% #8 = 10%				
	#5 (S-Jack pine) High Density Low Productivity	101,108	80	#5 = 90% #8 = 10%				
	#6 (S-Jack pine) High Density High Productivity	57,705	80	#6 = 90% #8 = 10%				
	#7 (S-Jack pine) L&M Jack pine	17,962	80	#7 = 100%				
PMW (SGR 4)	#8 (SH - Jack pine mixedwood)	54,045	100	#8 = 65% #9 = 10% #11 = 20% #17 = 5%				


SILVICULTURE GROUND RULES											
Saskatchewan Provincial Forest Type	Mistik Forest Development Type and Yield Curve ¹	Current Landbase Area (ha)	Minimum Harvest Age (yrs)	Transition Assumptions							
SMW (SGR 5)	#9 (SH - Spruce mixedwood)	51,773	120	#1 = 10% #9 = 70% #10 = 20%							
HSM (SGR 6)	#10 (HS - Hardwood w/ spruce)	54,377	100	#9 = 40% #10 = 60%							
HPM (SGR 7)	#11 (HS - Hardwood w/ jack pine)	42,185	100	#8 = 20% #9 = 20% #10 = 20% #11 = 30% #17 = 10%							
	#12 (H – Hardwood) Low Density Low Productivity	17,195	80	#9 = 15% #10 = 15% #12 = 5% #14 = 65%							
ТАВ	#13 (H – Hardwood) Low Density High Productivity	28,607	80	#9 = 15% #10 = 15% #13 = 5% #15 = 65%							
(SGR 8)	#14 (H – Hardwood) High Density Low Productivity	64,239	80	#9 = 15% #10 = 15% #12 = 5% #14 = 65%							
	#15 (H – Hardwood) High Density High Productivity	128,017	80	#9 = 5% #10 = 5% #15 = 90%							



SILVICULTURE GROUND RULES											
Saskatchewan Provincial Forest Type	Mistik Forest Development Type and Yield Curve ¹	Current Landbase Area (ha)	Minimum Harvest Age (yrs)	Transition Assumptions							
	#16 (H – Hardwood) Significant Softwood Incidental Low Density	31,104	80	#9 = 35% #10 = 35% #17 = 30%							
	#17 (H – Hardwood) Significant Softwood Incidental High Density	48,164	80	#9 = 25% #10 = 25% #17 = 50%							



APPENDIX C: SERAL STAGE MAINTENANCE STRATEGY

This appendix describes the strategy, developed in consultation with the FMP planning team, to address the maintenance of late seral stage on the Mistik FMA area.

STRATEGY DETAILS

Four main items form the basis of this strategy:

1. The defining features of a late seral stage stand.

Stand structure is the key indicator that identifies when a stand has progressed into a late seral stage. Late seral stage structure includes both vertical and horizontal characteristics in the stand. Some of the defining structural features include multi-layered canopies, large snags and coarse woody debris, gaps in the canopy and anti-gaps (areas of extreme density), large living trees and thickets of understorey vegetation. Although stand age is an indicator of late seral stage, it functions primarily as a proxy measure of the onset of late seral stage characteristics.

Late Seral Stage is defined in the Mistik FMP using the following age indicators:

- 90 Years Hardwood
- 90 Years Mixedwood
- 100 Years Softwood

2. The defining features of high quality late seral stage.

Key characteristics associated with quality include (ranked in order of priority):

- Size of the stand (larger provides more interior)
- Stand complexity
- Stand height
- Stands in the caribou range, high conservation value forest areas, and intact forest area
- Local knowledge designated

Each of the listed quality indicators have "quality points" attached to them. The "quality points" of all the quality indicators are added up and the stands with the highest score are selected for retention. Example:

- If stand area is greater than 4 ha and less than 64 score = 1
- If stand area is greater than 64 ha and less than 100 score = 3
- If stand area is greater than 100 ha and less than 300 score = 5

3. The portions of the landbase to be included in the strategy.

Late seral stage maintenance is a landscape feature that includes all portions of the forested landbase. As was identified by the FMP planning team, the strategy developed for the wood supply analysis includes the entire forested landbase and has a target for retention for both

productive and eligible non-operable forest types (Figure C.1 Identification of eligible stands for late seral retention



FIGURE C.1 IDENTIFICATION OF ELIGIBLE STANDS FOR LATE SERAL RETENTION

4. What amount of late seral stage should be maintained?

As per David Andison's seral stage analysis¹⁸, the targeted retention of the productive forest areas is as follows:

- 5% Jack Pine Softwood = 16,565 ha (of which 1,657 ha is very old),
- 5% Black Spruce Softwood = 4,284 ha (of which 428 ha is very old),
- 9% White Spruce Softwood = 2,713 ha (of which 271 ha is very old),
- 10% Softwood Dominated Mixedwood = 11,474 ha (of which 1,147 ha is very old),
- 10% Hardwood Dominated Mixedwood = 10,894 ha (of which 1,089 ha is very old),
- 14% Deciduous Types = 48,505 ha (of which 4,850 ha is very old)
- Total targeted late seral stage forest retention in productive forest types is ~94,000 ha.
- ~620,000 ha of late seral stage forest will be produced by the Non-Productive Types.

Combined this accounts at least 714,000 ha of forested land that will be managed for late seral stage.

¹⁸ Andison, D.W. 2006. Natural levels of forest seral-stage variability on the Mistik Management Ltd. FMA Area in Saskatchewan. Bandaloop Landscape-Ecosystem Services. Vancouver, BC.





APPENDIX D: PIECE SIZE ANALYSIS

This appendix displays the 20-year harvest sequence piece size analysis for the Selected Management Strategy by development type.

FIGURE D.1 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 1: S-WS-A-A



TABLE D.1: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 1: S-WS-A-A

Development Type Coefficients			а		b		C	d	t	
Softwood		1.0909368		E+01 1.193618E		02				
Hardwood			1.293250	E+01	1.154863E-0	02				
Age Class	Age Observed Average Piece Class (trees/m ³)				Predicted P (trees)	Piece S /m³)	Size	Predicted Piece Size (m³/tree)		
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	Hard	dwood	Softwood	Hardwood	
10					9.6819		11.5220	0.1033	0.0868	
20					8.5926		10.2653	0.1164	0.0974	
30					7.6258		9.1457	0.1311	0.1093	
40	6.5028		7.6113		6.7678		8.1482	0.1478	0.1227	
50	6.6492		7.2667		6.0063		7.2595	0.1665	0.1378	
60	4.9088		6.6039		5.3305		6.4677	0.1876	0.1546	
70	4.9646		6.1820		4.7308		5.7623	0.2114	0.1735	
80	3.0565				4.1985		5.1338	0.2382	0.1948	
90	7.0451		2.4225		3.7261		4.5739	0.2684	0.2186	
100	3.4554		4.4692		3.3069		4.0750	0.3024	0.2454	
110	1.1858		2.0357		2.9348		3.6306	0.3407	0.2754	
120	2.3276		1.1445		2.6046		3.2346	0.3839	0.3092	
130					2.3115		2.8818	0.4326	0.3470	
140					2.0515		2.5675	0.4875	0.3895	
150					1.8207		2.2875	0.5493	0.4372	
160					1.6158		2.0380	0.6189	0.4907	
170					1.4340		1.8157	0.6973	0.5507	
180					1.2727		1.6177	0.7858	0.6182	
190					1.1295		1.4412	0.8854	0.6938	
200					1.0024		1.2840	0.9976	0.7788	

FIGURE D.2 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 2: S-BS-A-A

TABLE D.2: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 2: S-BS-A-A

Development Type Coefficients			а		b		с	d	t
Softwood	8.923556		8.923556	E+00 1.709487E-0					
Hardwood 1.459			1.459727	E+01	7.169398E	-03			
Age Observed Average Piece Siz Class (trees/m ³)			ece Size		Predicted (trees	Piec s/m ³	e Size)	Predicted (m ³ /	Piece Size tree)
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	So	oftwood	H	ardwood	Softwood	Hardwood
10					8.7723		13.5874	0.1140	0.0736
20					8.6236		12.6473	0.1160	0.0791
30					8.4775		11.7723	0.1180	0.0849
40					8.3338		10.9579	0.1200	0.0913
50						1925 10.1		0.1221	0.0980
60	8.7011	6	.1372	8.0536			9.4941	0.1242	0.1053
70	7.1516	8	.0128	7.9171			8.8373	0.1263	0.1132
80	9.5124			7.7830			8.2259	0.1285	0.1216
90	7.0148	15	5.5280		7.6510		7.6568	0.1307	0.1306
100					7.5214		7.1270	0.1330	0.1403
110	7.2600	7.	.6040		.3939		6.6340	0.1352	0.1507
120	9.7865				7.2685		6.1750	0.1376	0.1619
130	6.4390	2	.7917		7.1453		5.7478	0.1400	0.1740
140					7.0242		5.3501	0.1424	0.1869
150					6.9052		4.9800	0.1448	0.2008
160					6.7881		4.6354	0.1473	0.2157
170					6.6731		4.3147	0.1499	0.2318
180					6.5600		4.0162	0.1524	0.2490
190				6.4488		4488 3.7384		0.1551	0.2675
200					6.3395		3.4797	0.1577	0.2874

FIGURE D.3 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 3: S-JP-LD-A-1

TABLE D.3: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 3: S-JP-LD-A-1

Development Type Coefficients			а		b		с	d	t	
Softwood		1.906942E		E+01 1.064310E-		02				
Hardwood 1.			1.418362	E+01	6.119314E-	03				
Age Class	AgeObserved Average Piece SizClass(trees/m³)				Predicted F (trees	Piec /m³)	e Size)	Predicted Piece Size (m³/tree)		
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	H	ardwood	Softwood	Hardwood	
10					17.1441		13.3417	0.0583	0.0750	
20	12.2249				15.4132		12.5498	0.0649	0.0797	
30	15.3139				13.8570		11.8048	0.0722	0.0847	
40	14.2814				12.4580		11.1041	0.0803	0.0901	
50	11.0365	12	.8455		11.2002		10.4450	0.0893	0.0957	
60	7.8333	4.	4.6823		10.0694	394 9.8250		0.0993	0.1018	
70	11.0071	13	3.7063	9.0528			9.2418	0.1105	0.1082	
80	8.3069	7.	.2636	8.1388			8.6932	0.1229	0.1150	
90	5.5285	14	.1044	7.3171			8.1772	0.1367	0.1223	
100	6.0929	8.	.0794		6.5783		7.6918	0.1520	0.1300	
110	8.8021				5.9141		7.2352	0.1691	0.1382	
120	5.5383	3.	1556		5.3170		6.8058	0.1881	0.1469	
130					4.7802		6.4018	0.2092	0.1562	
140					4.2976		6.0218	0.2327	0.1661	
150					3.8637		5.6643	0.2588	0.1765	
160					3.4736		5.3281	0.2879	0.1877	
170					3.1229		5.0118	0.3202	0.1995	
180					2.8076		4.7143	0.3562	0.2121	
190					2.5241	2.5241		0.3962	0.2255	
200					2.2693		4.1713	0.4407	0.2397	

FIGURE D.4 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 4: S-JP-LD-A-2

TABLE D.4: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 4: S-JP-LD-A-2

Development Type Coefficients			а		b		с	d	t	
Softwood	twood 1.336282		1.336282E	282E+01 7.865057E-		·03				
Hardwood 3.4			3.463192E	E+01	1.824683E-					
Age Class	AgeObserved Average Piece SClass(trees/m³)				Predicted I (trees	Piec s/m ³	e Size)	Predicted Piece Size (m³/tree)		
(yrs)	Softwood	Hard	dwood	S	oftwood	H	ardwood	Softwood	Hardwood	
10					12.3521		28.8557	0.0810	0.0347	
20					11.4178		24.0429	0.0876	0.0416	
30		12	.0773		10.5542		20.0328	0.0947	0.0499	
40	8.3902	18	.2944		9.7559		16.6915	0.1025	0.0599	
50	8.7856	16	.5781		9.0180		13.9076	0.1109	0.0719	
60	9.4902	11.	11.3977		8.3359		11.5880	0.1200	0.0863	
70	8.2705	7.	8291	7.7054			9.6552	0.1298	0.1036	
80	4.5047	10	.8197	7.1226			8.0448	0.1404	0.1243	
90				6.5839			6.7030	0.1519	0.1492	
100				6.0859		o.0859 5.58		0.1643	0.1790	
110					5.6256		4.6535	0.1778	0.2149	
120					5.2001		3.8774	0.1923	0.2579	
130					4.8067		3.2307	0.2080	0.3095	
140					4.4432		2.6918	0.2251	0.3715	
150					4.1071		2.2429	0.2435	0.4459	
160					3.7965		1.8688	0.2634	0.5351	
170					3.5093		1.5571	0.2850	0.6422	
180					3.2439		1.2974	0.3083	0.7708	
190					2.9985		1.0810	0.3335	0.9251	
200					2.7717		0.9007	0.3608	1.1103	

FIGURE D.5 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 5: S-JP-HD-A-1

TABLE D.5: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 5: S-JP-HD-A-1

Development Type Coefficients			а		b	с		d		t
Softwood	bod 1.509221		1.509221	E+01 9.226411E		03				
Hardwood			2.402961	E+01	8.900305E-	03				
Age Observed Average Piece Class (trees/m ³)			ece Size		Predicted F (trees	Piec s/m ³	e Size)	Predicte (n	d F 1 ³ /tr	Piece Size ree)
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	H	ardwood	Softwood		Hardwood
10					13.7620		21.9833	0.0727		0.0455
20					12.5491		20.1113	0.0797		0.0497
30	12.4844				11.4431		18.3987	0.0874		0.0544
40	11.6866			,	10.4345		16.8319	0.0958		0.0594
50	11.1512	17	.5292		9.5149		15.3985	0.1051		0.0649
60	8.0248	12	12.9316		8.6763		14.0872	0.1153		0.0710
70	7.8524	13	3.0710	7.9116			12.8876	0.1264		0.0776
80	7.1013	8	.4822		7.2143		11.7901	0.1386		0.0848
90	6.2334	20).7037		6.5785		10.7861	0.1520		0.0927
100	7.5936	9	.0183		5.9987		9.8676	0.1667		0.1013
110	8.2481				5.4700		9.0273	0.1828		0.1108
120					4.9879		8.2586	0.2005		0.1211
130					4.5483		7.5553	0.2199		0.1324
140					4.1474		6.9119	0.2411		0.1447
150					3.7819		6.3233	0.2644		0.1581
160					3.4485		5.7848	0.2900		0.1729
170					3.1446		5.2922	0.3180		0.1890
180				2.8675 4.8415 0.3487		675 4.8415			0.2065	
190				2.6147			4.4292	0.3824		0.2258
200					2.3843		4.0521	0.4194		0.2468

FIGURE D.6 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 6: S-JP-HD-A-2

TABLE D.6: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 6: S-JP-HD-A-2

Development Type Coefficients			а		b		с	d	t		
Softwood			1.561568		1.466984E-	02					
Hardwood	Hardwood 1.24			E+01	4.464203E-	03					
Age	Age Observed Average Piece				Predicted F	Piec	e Size	Predicted Piece Size			
Class	(trees			(trees	s/m³)	(m ²	/tree)			
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	H	ardwood	Softwood	Hardwood		
10					13.4850		11.9081	0.0742	0.0840		
20	10.4767				11.6450		11.3882	0.0859	0.0878		
30	8.2869				10.0561		10.8910	0.0994	0.0918		
40	8.0260	19	.0597		8.6840		10.4155	0.1152	0.0960		
50	8.1892	10	.3106		7.4991		9.9607	0.1333	0.1004		
60	6.6680	2	1915		6.4759		9.5259	0.1544	0.1050		
70	5.7169	9	.0824	5.5923			9.1100	0.1788	0.1098		
80	4.0230	8	.6893		4.8292		8.7122	0.2071	0.1148		
90	2.2389	20	.0401		4.1703		8.3318	0.2398	0.1200		
100					3.6013		7.9681	0.2777	0.1255		
110					3.1099	.1099 7.6202		0.3216	0.1312		
120					2.6856		7.2875	0.3724	0.1372		
130					2.3191		6.9693	0.4312	0.1435		
140					2.0027		6.6650	0.4993	0.1500		
150					1.7294		6.3740	0.5782	0.1569		
160					1.4935		6.0957	0.6696	0.1640		
170					1.2897		5.8296	0.7754	0.1715		
180					1.1137		5.5751	0.8979	0.1794		
190					0.9618		0.9618 5.3317		5.3317	1.0398	0.1876
200					0.8305		5.0989	1.2041	0.1961		

FIGURE D.7 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 7: S-JP-L&M

TABLE D.7: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 7: S-JP-L&M

Development Type Coefficients			а		b		с	d	t	
Softwood			2.341631	E+01 2.035329E		02				
Hardwood 1.236			1.236110	E+01	6.680309E-	03				
Age Observed Average Piece Size			ece Size		Predicted F	Piec	e Size	Predicted Piece Size		
Class	(trees/m ³)				(trees	s/m³)	(m²/	tree)	
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	Sc	oftwood	H	ardwood	Softwood	Hardwood	
10				1	19.1040		11.5623	0.0523	0.0865	
20				1	15.5859		10.8152	0.0642	0.0925	
30				1	12.7157		10.1163	0.0786	0.0989	
40	11.2080			1	10.3740		9.4626	0.0964	0.1057	
50	9.4882				8.4635		8.8511	0.1182	0.1130	
60	6.5448	7.	.5468		6.9049		8.2791	0.1448	0.1208	
70	4.7286	8.	.0717		5.6333		7.7441	0.1775	0.1291	
80	4.4938	7.	.3829		4.5959		7.2437	0.2176	0.1381	
90	4.8639	6.	.3553		3.7496		6.7756	0.2667	0.1476	
100	4.3657	6.	.8266		3.0590	6.3378		0.3269	0.1578	
110					2.4957	5.9282		0.4007	0.1687	
120					2.0361		5.5451	0.4911	0.1803	
130					1.6611		5.1868	0.6020	0.1928	
140					1.3552		4.8516	0.7379	0.2061	
150					1.1057		4.5381	0.9044	0.2204	
160					0.9020		4.2448	1.1086	0.2356	
170					0.7359		3.9705	1.3588	0.2519	
180				0.6004		6004 3.714		1.6656	0.2693	
190				0.4898		.4898 3.4740		2.0415	0.2879	
200					0.3996		3.2495	2.5023	0.3077	

FIGURE D.8 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 8: SH-JP-A-A

TABLE D.8: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 8: SH-JP-A-A

Development Type Coefficients			а		b		с	d	t		
Softwood		1.247074		E+01	1.350713E-	-02					
Hardwood 2.24			2.247153	E+01	1.500171E-	-02					
Age Class	AgeObserved Average Piece SClass(trees/m³)				Predicted I (trees	Piec s/m³	e Size)	Predicted (m ³ /t	Piece Size tree)		
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	Sc	oftwood	H	ardwood	Softwood	Hardwood		
10				1	10.8951		19.3411	0.0918	0.0517		
20					9.5186		16.6467	0.1051	0.0601		
30		6.	2829		8.3159		14.3277	0.1203	0.0698		
40	5.1462	15	.9110		7.2652		12.3318	0.1376	0.0811		
50	7.4564	13	.8725		6.3473		10.6139	0.1575	0.0942		
60	5.5651	11	11.2054		5.5453		9.1353	0.1803	0.1095		
70	3.3718	7.	1759	4.8447			7.8627	0.2064	0.1272		
80	5.9423	5.	3061	4.2326			6.7674	0.2363	0.1478		
90	3.1535	1.	6558	3.6978			5.8246	0.2704	0.1717		
100					3.2306		5.0132	0.3095	0.1995		
110					2.8224		4.3148	0.3543	0.2318		
120					2.4658		3.7138	0.4055	0.2693		
130					2.1543		3.1964	0.4642	0.3129		
140					1.8821		2.7511	0.5313	0.3635		
150					1.6443		2.3679	0.6082	0.4223		
160					1.4366		2.0380	0.6961	0.4907		
170					1.2550		1.7541	0.7968	0.5701		
180					1.0965		1.5097	0.9120	0.6624		
190					0.9579		0.9579		1.2994	1.0439	0.7696
200					0.8369		1.1184	1.1949	0.8941		

FIGURE D.9 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 9: SH-WS-A-A

TABLE D.9: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 9: SH-WS-A-A

Development Type Coefficients			а		b		с		d	t		
Softwood			9.718785	9.718785E+00		-02						
Hardwood			2.642567E+01 2.448865E-02									
Age Observed Average Pic Class (trees/m ³)			ece Size		Predicted (trees	Piec s/m ³	e Size)		Predicted F (m ³ /t	Piece Size ree)		
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	Sc	oftwood	H	ardwood	S	oftwood	Hardwood		
10					8.6371		20.6858		0.1158	0.0483		
20					7.6758		16.1927		0.1303	0.0618		
30	5.0804	9	.0532		6.8215		12.6756		0.1466	0.0789		
40					6.0623		9.9224		0.1650	0.1008		
50	4.9414	10).6199		5.3876		7.7672		0.1856	0.1287		
60	5.0998	6	.8232		4.7879		6.0801		0.2089	0.1645		
70	5.0897	4	.6336		4.2551		4.7594		0.2350	0.2101		
80	2.7812	1	.1973		3.7815		3.7257		0.2644	0.2684		
90	4.0689	3	.1830		3.3606		2.9164		0.2976	0.3429		
100	1.4714	1	.9999		2.9866		2.2830		0.3348	0.4380		
110					2.6542	542 1.7871			0.3768	0.5596		
120					2.3588	1.3989			0.4239	0.7148		
130					2.0963		1.0951		0.4770	0.9132		
140					1.8629		0.8572		0.5368	1.1666		
150					1.6556		0.6710		0.6040	1.4903		
160					1.4713		0.5253		0.6797	1.9038		
170					1.3076		0.4112		0.7648	2.4320		
180					1.1620	20 0.3			0.8605	3.1069		
190					1.0327		.0327 0.2520		0.2520		0.9683	3.9690
200					0.9178		0.1972		1.0896	5.0703		

FIGURE D.10 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 10: HS-WS-A-A

TABLE D.10: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 10: HS-WS-A-A

Development Type Coefficients			а		b		с	d		t		
Softwood		1.029280)E+01 7.000593E-		-03						
Hardwood 1.1			1.145453	E+01	1.226536E	-02						
Age Class	Age Observed Average Piece Size lass (trees/m ³)				Predicted I (trees	Piec s/m³	e Size)	Predicted Piece Size (m³/tree)				
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	H	ardwood	Softwood		Hardwood		
10					9.5969		10.1323	0.1042		0.0987		
20					8.9480		8.9628	0.1118		0.1116		
30	5.1627	14	.6837		8.3430		7.9282	0.1199		0.1261		
40	7.2965	3.	8058		7.7789		7.0130	0.1286		0.1426		
50	7.0931	6.	2877		7.2530		6.2035	0.1379		0.1612		
60	7.5527	5.	6853		6.7626		5.4875	0.1479		0.1822		
70	6.0441	4.	1817		6.3054		4.8540	0.1586		0.2060		
80	6.7079	4.	9847	5.8791			4.2937	0.1701		0.2329		
90	5.8471	3.	3354		5.4816		3.7981	0.1824		0.2633		
100	0.6703	2.	3575		j.1109 3.359		3.3597	0.1957		0.2976		
110	1.6642	3.	5170		4.7654		2.9719	0.2098		0.3365		
120	5.7060	3.	8780		4.4432		2.6288	0.2251		0.3804		
130	3.7657	5.	5170		4.1428		2.3254	0.2414		0.4300		
140					3.8627		2.0570	0.2589		0.4862		
150					3.6015		1.8195	0.2777		0.5496		
160					3.3580		1.6095	0.2978		0.6213		
170					3.1310		1.4237	0.3194		0.7024		
180					2.9193		1.2594	0.3426		0.7940		
190					2.7219		2.7219		1.1140	0.3674		0.8977
200					2.5379		0.9854	0.3940		1.0148		

FIGURE D.11 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 11: HS-JP-A-A

TABLE D.11: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 11: HS-JP-A-A

Dev	velopment Type Coefficients		а		b		с		d ¹⁹	t		
Softwood			1.022279	E+01	9.769422E	-03		4.472449E-01		0		
Hardwood			3.185340E+01 3.109161E-02			-02						
Age Class	Observed Average Piece Size (trees/m ³)			Predicted Piece Size (trees/m ³)				Predicted Piece Size (m³/tree)				
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	Н	ardwood	Softw	/ood	Hardwood		
10					9.2713		23.3414	0.10)79	0.0428		
20					8.4084		17.1040	0.11	89	0.0585		
30	5.4900	18	8.0864		7.6258		12.5334	0.13	311	0.0798		
40	3.9066	6	.3876		6.9160		9.1842	0.14	46	0.1089		
50	5.9775	4	.8742		6.2723		6.7299	0.15	594	0.1486		
60	5.8382	7	.0799		5.6885		4.9315	0.17	'58	0.2028		
70	8.0191	2	.9267	5.1591			3.6137	0.19	38	0.2767		
80	8.6239	2	.8728		4.6789		2.6480	0.21	37	0.3776		
90					4.2434		1.9404	0.23	357	0.5154		
100					3.8485		3.8485 1.4219		1.4219	0.25	598	0.7033
110					3.4903	903 1.0419		0.28	865	0.9598		
120					3.1654	1654 0.7635		0.31	59	1.3098		
130					2.8708		0.5595	0.34	83	1.7874		
140					2.6036		0.4100	0.38	341	2.4392		
150					2.3613		0.3004	0.42	235	3.3288		
160					2.1415		0.2201	0.46	670	4.5427		
170					1.9422		0.1613	0.51	49	6.1993		
180					1.7614		0.1182	0.56	677	8.4600		
190					1.5975		0.0866	0.62	260	11.5451		
200					1.4488		0.0635	0.69	02	15.7553		

¹⁹ The softwood piece data for HS-JP-A-A was guided with data from S-JP-LM and SH-JP-A-A.

FIGURE D.12 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 12: H-A-LD-A-1

TABLE D.12: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 12: H-A-LD-A-1

Dev	Development Type Coefficients		а		b	b			d ²⁰	t
Softwood			8.665846	E+00	9.708316E	-03	03		4.677981E+00	0
Hardwood			1.757841E+01		1.357217E-02				5.408412E+00	0
Age Class	Observed Average Piece Size (trees/m ³)		ece Size	Predicted Piece Size (trees/m³)			ce Size 3)		Predicted I (m³/t	Piece Size ree)
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	So	oftwood	H	lardwood	S	Softwood	Hardwood
10					7.8641		15.3475		0.1272	0.0652
20					7.1365		13.3996		0.1401	0.0746
30					6.4762		11.6990		0.1544	0.0855
40		6	.5034		5.8771		10.2143		0.1702	0.0979
50		8	.5181		5.3333		8.9179		0.1875	0.1121
60	7.0407	7	.9555	4.8399			7.7861		0.2066	0.1284
70		2	.5269	4.3921			6.7979		0.2277	0.1471
80	3.3236	5	.6466	3.9857			5.9352		0.2509	0.1685
90				3.6170			5.1819		0.2765	0.1930
100	1.6163	7	.3333		3.2823 4.5243		4.5243		0.3047	0.2210
110					2.9787	2.9787 3.9501			0.3357	0.2532
120					2.7031		3.4487		0.3699	0.2900
130					2.4530	3.0111			0.4077	0.3321
140					2.2260		2.6289		0.4492	0.3804
150					2.0201	0201 2.2953			0.4950	0.4357
160					1.8332		2.0040		0.5455	0.4990
170					1.6636		1.7496		0.6011	0.5716
180					1.5097		1.5276		0.6624	0.6546
190					1.3700		1.3337		0.7299	0.7498
200					1.2432		1.1644		0.8043	0.8588

²⁰ The softwood piece data for H-A-LD-A-1 was guided with data from H-A-HD-A-1 and the hardwood piece size data was guided with H-A-LD-A-2.

FIGURE D.13 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 13: H-A-LD-A-2

TABLE D.13: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 13: H-A-LD-A-2

Dev	velopment Type Coefficients		а		b		с	d ²¹		t
Softwood			1.493007E	E+01	9.122216E-	03		2.797621E+00		0
Hardwood			1.750402E	E+01	1.928773E-	02				
Age	Age Observed Average Piece		ece Size		Predicted I	Piec	e Size	Predicted Piece Size		
Class	(trees	/m³)			(trees	<mark>s/m³</mark>))	(m³/tr	ee)
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	Sc	oftwood	Н	ardwood	Softwood		Hardwood
10				1	3.6284		14.4335	0.0734		0.0693
20				1	2.4402		11.9016	0.0804		0.0840
30		5.	7183	1	1.3556		9.8139	0.0881		0.1019
40				1	0.3656		8.0924	0.0965		0.1236
50	9.8293	9.	1622		9.4618		6.6728	0.1057		0.1499
60	2.1501	4.	.9747		8.6369		5.5023	0.1158		0.1817
70	8.8590	4.	3636	7.8839			4.5371	0.1268		0.2204
80	10.0474	3.	4582	7.1965			3.7412	0.1390		0.2673
90	0.7712	2	2795		6.5691		3.0849	0.1522		0.3242
100					5.9964 2.54		2.5438	0.1668		0.3931
110					5.4736 2.0976		2.0976	0.1827		0.4767
120					4.9964	.9964 1.7296		0.2001		0.5782
130					4.5608	1.4262		0.2193		0.7012
140					4.1631		1.1760	0.2402		0.8503
150					3.8002		0.9697	0.2631		1.0312
160					3.4688		0.7996	0.2883		1.2506
170					3.1664		0.6594	0.3158		1.5166
180					2.8904		0.5437	0.3460		1.8393
190					2.6384		0.4483	0.3790		2.2305
200					2.4083		0.3697	0.4152		2.7050

²¹ The softwood piece data for H-A-LD-A-2 was guided with data H-A-HD-A-2.

FIGURE D.14 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 14: H-A-HD-A-1

TABLE D.14: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 14: H-A-HD-A-1

Dev	velopment Type Coefficients		а		b		с	d	t	
Softwood			1.258294	E+01	8.675243E-	-03				
Hardwood			3.401042E+01 2		2.699109E-02					
Age Class	ge Observed Average Piece Size ass (trees/m ³)			Predicted Piece Size (trees/m³)				Predicted Piece Size (m³/tree)		
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	H	ardwood	Softwood	Hardwood	
10				•	11.5373		25.9652	0.0867	0.0385	
20					10.5786		19.8230	0.0945	0.0504	
30	14.3387	15	5.5870		9.6996		15.1339	0.1031	0.0661	
40	3.7757	10	0.0026		8.8936		11.5539	0.1124	0.0866	
50	4.5401	9	.1950		8.1546		8.8208	0.1226	0.1134	
60	5.8213	6	.8223		7.4770		6.7342	0.1337	0.1485	
70	7.6394	4	.9424		6.8557		5.1412	0.1459	0.1945	
80	7.6744	3	.3786		6.2860		3.9251	0.1591	0.2548	
90	6.2291	2	.8738		5.7636		2.9966	0.1735	0.3337	
100	7.5810	4	.3003		5.2847		2.2877	0.1892	0.4371	
110					4.8456		1.7466	0.2064	0.5726	
120					4.4429		1.3334	0.2251	0.7500	
130					4.0737		1.0180	0.2455	0.9823	
140					3.7352		0.7772	0.2677	1.2867	
150					3.4248		0.5933	0.2920	1.6854	
160					3.1403		0.4530	0.3184	2.2076	
170					2.8793		0.3458	0.3473	2.8916	
180					2.6401		0.2640	0.3788	3.7876	
190					2.4207		0.2016	0.4131	4.9612	
200					2.2195		0.1539	0.4505	6.4984	

FIGURE D.15 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 15: H-A-HD-A-2

TABLE D.15: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 15: H-A-HD-A-2

Dev	velopment Type Coefficients		а		b		с		d	t
Softwood			1.217425	E+01	9.183878E	-03				
Hardwood			3.384811E+01 3.170011E-02							
Age Class	Age Observed Average Piece Si Class (trees/m ³)			Predicted Piece Size (trees/m ³)				Predicted Piece Size (m³/tree)		
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	H	lardwood	So	oftwood	Hardwood
10					11.1060		24.6526	(0.0900	0.0406
20					10.1315		17.9552	(0.0987	0.0557
30	2.8457	15	5.7066		9.2424		13.0773	(0.1082	0.0765
40	8.9981	7	.3333		8.4314		9.5246	(0.1186	0.1050
50	7.6574	7	.3060		7.6916		6.9371	(0.1300	0.1442
60	7.9102	4	.4655	7.0167			5.0525	(0.1425	0.1979
70	5.6503	4	.3327	6.4010			3.6799	(0.1562	0.2717
80	5.7869	3	.0781	5.8393			2.6802	(0.1713	0.3731
90	5.2810	2	.3051		5.3269		1.9520	(0.1877	0.5123
100					4.8595		1.4217	(0.2058	0.7034
110					4.4331	31 1.0355		(0.2256	0.9657
120					4.0441		0.7542	(0.2473	1.3260
130					3.6892		0.5493	(0.2711	1.8205
140					3.3655		0.4001	(0.2971	2.4996
150					3.0702		0.2914	(0.3257	3.4320
160					2.8008		0.2122	(0.3570	4.7121
170					2.5550		0.1546	(0.3914	6.4697
180					2.3308		0.1126	(0.4290	8.8830
190					2.1263		0.0820	(0.4703	12.1964
200					1.9397		0.0597	(0.5155	16.7456

FIGURE D.16 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 16: H(S)-A-LD-A

TABLE D.16: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 16: H(S)-A-LD-A

Dev	Development Type Coefficients		а		b	с			d	t	
Softwood			1.331409	E+01	9.326052E-	-03					
Hardwood			1.980868	.980868E+01 1.886610E							
Age Class	ge Observed Average Piece Size ass (trees/m ³)				Predicted Piece Size (trees/m³)				Predicted Piece Size (m³/tree)		
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	Н	ardwood	Soft	wood	Hardwood	
10				`	12.1286		16.4029	0.0	825	0.0610	
20				•	11.0486		13.5827	0.0	905	0.0736	
30				•	10.0648		11.2474	0.0	994	0.0889	
40	6.3186	5	.5904		9.1686		9.3136	0.1	091	0.1074	
50	8.6554	8	.5743		8.3522		7.7123	0.1	197	0.1297	
60	8.9647	10	.7668		7.6085		6.3863	0.1	314	0.1566	
70	7.7215	2	.8678	6.9310			5.2883	0.1	443	0.1891	
80	6.1571	5	.5140	6.3138			4.3791	0.1	584	0.2284	
90	5.9770	3	.9281		5.7516		3.6261	0.1	739	0.2758	
100	3.0117	2	.5099		5.2395		3.0027	0.1	909	0.3330	
110	3.9923	2	.1594		4.7729		2.4864	0.2	2095	0.4022	
120	5.2228	4	.0029		4.3479		2.0589	0.2	2300	0.4857	
130					3.9608		1.7049	0.2	2525	0.5865	
140					3.6081		1.4118	0.2	2772	0.7083	
150					3.2868		1.1691	0.3	8042	0.8554	
160					2.9941		0.9681	0.3	340	1.0330	
170					2.7275		0.8016	0.3	8666	1.2475	
180					2.4847		0.6638	0.4	025	1.5065	
190					2.2634		0.5497	0.4	418	1.8193	
200					2.0619		0.4552	0.4	850	2.1970	

FIGURE D.17 PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 17: H(S)-A-HD-A

TABLE D.17: PIECE SIZE DEVELOPMENT TYPE 17: H(S)-A-HD-A

Dev	velopment Type Coefficients		а		b		с	d	t	
Softwood			1.397298	E+01	9.180973E-	-03				
Hardwood			2.018677E+01 2.150009			02				
Age Class	Observed Average Piece Size (trees/m ³)			Predicted Piece Size (trees/m ³)				Predicted Piece Size (m³/tree)		
(yrs)	Softwood	Har	dwood	S	oftwood	Н	ardwood	Softwood	Hardwood	
10					12.7473		16.2814	0.0784	0.0614	
20					11.6290		13.1317	0.0860	0.0762	
30	7.5815	10	.7932		10.6089		10.5912	0.0943	0.0944	
40	10.4582	8	.0320		9.6783		8.5422	0.1033	0.1171	
50	8.6675	7	.9779		8.8293		6.8897	0.1133	0.1451	
60	8.3186	4	.0416		8.0548		5.5568	0.1241	0.1800	
70	7.3718	5	.2889		7.3482		4.4818	0.1361	0.2231	
80	7.0191	3	7955	6.7036			3.6147	0.1492	0.2766	
90	5.2329	1	.9621		6.1156		2.9154	0.1635	0.3430	
100	2.5557	2	1755		5.5791		2.3514	0.1792	0.4253	
110	4.9375	2	.0164		5.0897		1.8965	0.1965	0.5273	
120					4.6432		1.5296	0.2154	0.6538	
130					4.2359		1.2337	0.2361	0.8106	
140					3.8643		0.9950	0.2588	1.0050	
150					3.5253		0.8025	0.2837	1.2461	
160					3.2161		0.6473	0.3109	1.5449	
170					2.9340		0.5221	0.3408	1.9155	
180					2.6766		0.4211	0.3736	2.3750	
190				2.4418			0.3396	0.4095	2.9446	
200					2.2276		0.2739	0.4489	3.6510	

APPENDIX E: DATA SUBMISSION

This appendix provides dictionaries and description of the digital data submission related to the Wood Supply Model.

The planning inventory layer was updated prior to the wood supply modeling to include the old caribou ranges, the tactical plan blocks, the year of origin (YOO), old forest, planned and harvested blocks, and productive forest identifier (area included within the wood supply model).

The new fields that were added to the original planning inventory are included within the data dictionary (Table E.1). To account for the 2016 harvested blocks, the following fields were utilized:

- AOP_YEAR = 2016
- BLOCSTAT = "CUT"

File: Landbase20160616_Caribou_Tactical

Number of data records: 946,142

TABLE E.1: FOREST COMPOSITE DATABASE STRUCTURE AND DESCRIPTION

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
1.	TIMBER_SUPPLY_A REAS	String	25	0	Timber Supply Areas: L&M Wood Products; Mistik
2.	GL20160616	Numeric	11	2	Unique spatial identifier
3.	MU	String	2	0	Manangement unit number identified as follows: • 01-Divide; • Pierceland; • Big Island Lake; • Waterhen; • 07- Beauval; • 08- Canoe Lake; • 09- Ile-a-la-Crosse; • 10- Buffalo Narrows; • 11- Dillon; • 12- Murray Bay; • 20- Beaver River; • 21- Peter Pond; • 78- Recreation Area; • 79- Timber Reserve; • 85- L&M
4.	MU_NAME	String	40	0	Manangement unit name identified as follows: • Beauval; • Beaver river; • Big island lake; • Buffalo Narrows; • Canoe Lake; • Dillon; • Divide; • Ile-a-la-Crosse; • L & M; • Murray Bay; • Peter Pond; • Pierceland; • Recreation Area; • Timber Reserve; • Waterhen
5.	OP_AREA	String	6	0	Management unit and Operating area code
6.	OP_NAME	String	40	0	Operating area name
7.	OP_NUM	String	3	0	Operating area number
8.	SEASON	String	10	0	Harvest Season: ◆ ALL_SEASON; ◆ WINTER

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
9.	WATERSHED_NUMB ER	String	10	0	Watershed: • 5EG; • 5GE; • 5GF; • 6AE; • 6AF; • 6BB; • 6BC; • 6CC
10.	WATERSHED_NUMB ER2	String	10	0	Watershed 2: • 5EF; • 5EG; • 6AD; • 6AF; • 6AG; • 6BA; • 6BB; • 6BD
11.	WILDLIFE_ZONE_NU MBER	String	16	0	Wildlife management zone number: • ZONE 47; • ZONE 55; • ZONE 66; • ZONE 67; • ZONE 69; • ZONE 73
12.	DEER_LICNO	String	100	0	White Tailed Deer Outfitting License Number
13.	BEAR_LICNO	String	100	0	Black Bear Outfitting License Number C2005
14.	DMT_HOST	String	2	0	Dwarf mistletoe host: PJ- Jack Pine
15.	DMT_SEVER	String	1	0	Dwarf mistletoe severeity: S- Severe
16.	DMT_DATE	String	9	0	Dwarf mistletoe date:
17.	BUDWORM_YEAR	String	50	0	Budworm defoliation year
18.	BUDWORM_DEFO	String	50	0	Budworm defoliation severeity: ◆ Moderate ◆ Severe
19.	SK_ssi	Numeric	11	2	Stand susceptiability index
20.	ABIOTIC_YEAR	Numeric	11	2	Abiotic year of disturbance
21.	ABIOTIC_TYPE	String	50	0	Abiotic disturbance: What Is the 3? • 3-"Other"; • FLOOD; • WINDTHROW
22.	BIOTIC_YEAR	Numeric	11	2	Biotic year of disturbance:
23.	BIOTIC_TYPE	String	50	0	Biotic disturbance: • EASTERN LARCH BEETLE; • HARDWOOD DEFOLIATION; • SPRUCE NEEDLE RUST

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
24.	SOIL_NAME	String	40	0	 ◆ BOREAL TRANSITION ◆ MID-BOREAL UPLANDS
25.	DEVEL	String	1	0	Soil development type: • C- Chernozemic; • F- Luvisolic; • M- Eutric Brunisolic; • P- Dystric Brunisolic; • R- Regosolic; • W- Humo-Ferric Podzolic; • X- Fibrisolic; • Y- Mesisolic
26.	PMDEP	String	2	0	Parental mode of desposition types:
27.	LOCSF	String	3	0	Local surface form: • B14- Bog; • B16- Bog; • D- Dissected; • F13- Fen; • H- Hummocky; • K- Knoll and Kettle; • M- Rolling; • U- Undulating; • W- Water
28.	FIRE_NO	String	50	0	Fire number
29.	YEAR	Numeric	11	2	Fire year
30.	FIRENAME	String	40	0	Fire name
31.	FCA	String	5	0	Fur Conservation Aea: M-37; M-38; M-38B; M-53; M-54; M-55; M-56; M-56; M-58; M-81; M-94; N-12; N-13A; N-13B; N-14; N-15; N-19; N-21; P-88

(6

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
		MI	STIK FORI	EST OPERATI	ONS UPDATE
32.	BLOCK_ID	Numeric	11	2	FMS block Id
33.	BLOCK_SHAPE_ID	Numeric	15	7	FMA block shape area
34.	SHAPSTAT	String	255	0	Cutblock shape status: ◆ ACTUAL ◆ PLANNED
35.	AOP_YEAR	Numeric	15	7	Annual Operating Plan Year
36.	BLOCSTAT	String	255	0	Cutblock status: • CUT; • PLANNED
37.	OPENTYPE_CODE	String	255	0	Harvest Type: • Burrow pit; • CC: Clearcut; • Clearcut (patch); • Clearcut (strip); • Clearcut w/POR; • High Grade; • Other; • Patch Retention; • PC: Partial Cut; • Salvage; • Salvage – burn; • Salvage – burn; • Salvage – mistletoe; • Salvage – mistletoe; • Salvage – windthrow; • Seed Tree (single); • ST: Sanitation Cut; • wS undrstry presrvtn
38.	BLOCSPECGROU_C ODE	String	255	0	 Block Species Group Code: C- Coniferous; CD- Conifer leading mixedwood; D- Deciduous; DC-Deciduous leading mixedwood
39.	SKID_CLEARANCE_ DATE	Date	40	0	Skid Clearance Date (dd-mmm-yyyy)
40.	ESTS_SURVEY_DAT E	Date	40	0	Date of establishment survey
41.	Regen_status	String	47	0	Establishment survey regenerated status: • STOCSTAT-NSR- Not satisfactorily regenerated; • STOCSTAT-SR- Satisfactorily regenerated; • STOCSTAT-SRV- Not satisfactorily vegetated
42.	LFN_SP	String	47	0	Leave for Natural Speices: • JP- Jackpine; • TA- Trembling Aspen; • SW- White spruce
43.	LFN_HA	Numeric	16	7	Area left for natural (ha) (dd-mmm-yyyy)
44.	LFN_DATE	Date	40	0	Date of left for natural (dd-mmm-yyyy)

(6

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
45.	PLANT_SP	String	47	0	Planted Species: • SPEC-BS- Black Spruce • SPEC-JP- Jack Pine • SPEC-LP- Lodgepole Pine • SPEC-OTHER-Other • SPEC-RP- Red Pine • SPEC-SP- Scots Pine • SPEC-SW- White Spruce • SPEC-WB –White Birch • SPEC-WS- White Spruce
46.	PLANT_HA	Numeric	16	7	Area Planted (ha)
47.	PLANT_YEAR	Numeric	31	15	Plant date (year)
48.	TEND_TYPE	String	47	0	Stand tend type: • STANTENDTYPE-CL • STANTENDTYPE-DIE • STANTENDTYPE-SPAC • STANTENDTYPE-THIN
49.	TEND_HA	Numeric	31	15	Area tended (ha)
50.	TEND_DATE	Date	40	0	Tending date (dd-mmm-yyyy)
51.	VISUAL_WATER	Numeric	11	2	Visually sensitive area identifier for areas surrounding water: • 0- Not visually sensitive; • 1- visually sensitive
52.	VISUAL_ROADS	Numeric	11	2	Visually sensitive area identifier for areas surrounding roads: • 0- Not visually sensitive; • 1- Visually sensitive
53.	INOPERABLE	Numeric	11	2	 Binary identifier of polygons that are inoperable due to slope: 0- Operable; 1- Inoperable (slope > 30%)
54.	BUF_90	Numeric	11	2	 Binary Identifier of 90 meter riaparian zones: ♦ 0- no 90 metre buffer; ♦ 1- 90 metre buffer zone
55.	BUF_30	Numeric	11	2	 Binary Identifier of 30 meter riaparian zones: ♦ 0- no 30 metre buffer; ♦ 1- 30 metre buffer zone
56.	BUF_15	Numeric	11	2	 Binary Identifier of 15 meter riaparian zones: ♦ 0- no 15 metre buffer; ♦ 1- 15 metre buffer zone
57.	WAT_ISLAND	Numeric	11	2	Water island identifier: ◆ 0- No Water Island; ◆ 1- Water island
58.	LAC_PLONGE	Numeric	11	2	Lac La Plonge polygon flag: ◆ 0- No flag; ◆ 2- Lac La Plonge
59.	Built_ge_1995	Numeric	11	2	Built greater than 1995 flag: ♦ 0- no flag; ♦ 1995- built greater than 1995

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
60.	ANTH_DISTURB	String	3	0	Anthropogenic Disturbance • AGR- Agriculture; • AIR- Airstrip; • BLT- Bult-up areas; • CMP- Camps and lodges; • FLE- Flowline Easement; • GFT- Government Fire Tower; • IND- Industrial areas; • MIN- Mine Sites; • PIT- Gravel Pits; • PTM- Peat moss; • REC- Recreational; • RES- Rural residential; • RWT- Radio Weather Tower; • WFI - Wells
61.	ANTH_CONFIDENCE	String	1	0	Confidence code: • H- high; • L- low; • M- mid
62.	Road_update	Numeric	11	2	Road presence: ◆ 0; ◆ 2006
			ş	FVI ATTRIBUTE	ES
63.	ID_TILE	String	11	0	Tile Number made up of zone, easting, and northing.
64.	STAND	Numeric	11	2	SFVI Polygon identification number.
65.	ID_FOR	Numeric	31	15	Identification number made up of ID_TILE and Stand.
66.	CROWN_1	Numeric	11	2	Crown Closure of layer 1 expressed to the nearest 1%.
67.	HEIGHT_1	Numeric	11	2	Average height of layer 1 (m).
68.	COMPLX_1	String	1	0	Canopy structure as follows: • C - Complex; • H - Horizontal.
69.	COMPRG_1	Numeric	11	2	 Complex Stand Quantifier Complex Stand - Describes Height range; Horizontal Stand - Describes percent of ground area covered by the horizontal component.
70.	SP1_1	String	2	0	 Species 1 of layer 1 as follows: TA - Trembling Aspen; WB - White Birch; BP - Balsam Poplar; BF - Balsam Fir; TL - Larch; JP - Jack Pine; BS - Black Spruce; WS - White Spruce. Percent Composition for Species 1 of Layer 1.
/1.	PER1_1		11	2	r crocin composition of openics i of Edger 1.

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
72.	SP2_1	String	2	0	Species 2 of layer 1 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BF - Balsam Fir; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
73.	PER2_1	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 2 of Layer 1.
74.	SP3_1	String	2	0	Species 3 of layer 1 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BF - Balsam Fir; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
75.	PER3_1	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 3 of Layer 1.
76.	SP4_1	String	2	0	Species 4 of layer 1 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BF - Balsam Fir; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
77.	PER4_1	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 4 of Layer 1.
78.	SP5_1	String	2	0	Species 5 of layer 1 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
79.	PER5_1	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 5 of Layer 1.
80.	PATTRN_1	String	2	0	Canopy pattern of layer 1 defined as follows: • P0 - Single stems; • P1 - Single patch of stems; • P2 - Few patches of stems; • P3 - Several patches of stems; • P4 - Continuous canopy; openings common; • P5 - Continuous canopy; openings uncommon.
81.	ORIGIN_1	Numeric	11	2	Year of origin of Layer 1.
82.	ORGNINT1	String	1	0	 Differentiates between known and estimated year of origin of layer 1 as follows: A - year of origin is known to the nearest year (annum); D - year of origin is estimated to the nearest decade.
83.	CROWN_2	Numeric	11	2	Crown Closure of layer 2 expressed to the nearest 1%.
84.	HEIGHT_2	Numeric	11	2	Average height of layer 2 (m).

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
85.	COMPLX_2	String	1	0	Canopy structure as follows: H - Horizontal.
86.	COMPRG_2	Numeric	11	2	Complex Stand Quantifier Horizontal Stand - Describes percent of ground area covered by the horizontal component.
87.	SP1_2	String	2	0	 Species 1 of layer 2 as follows: TA - Trembling Aspen; WB - White Birch; BP - Balsam Poplar; BF - Balsam Fir; TL - Larch; JP - Jack Pine; BS - Black Spruce; WS - White Spruce.
88.	PER1_2	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 1 of Layer 2.
89.	SP2_2	String	2	0	Species 2 of layer 2 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BF - Balsam Fir; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
90.	PER2_2	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 2 of Layer 2.
91.	SP3_2	String	2	0	 Species 3 of layer 2 as follows: TA - Trembling Aspen; WB - White Birch; BP - Balsam Poplar; BF - Balsam Fir; TL - Larch; JP - Jack Pine; BS - Black Spruce; WS - White Spruce.
92.	PER3_2	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 3 of Layer 2.
93.	SP4_2	String	2	0	Species 4 of layer 2 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BF - Balsam Fir; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
94.	PER4_2	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 4 of Layer 2.
95.	SP5_2	String	2	0	Species 5 of layer 2 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
96.	PER5_2	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 5 of Layer 2.

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
97.	PATTRN_2	String	2	0	Canopy pattern of layer 2 defined as follows: • P0 - Single stems; • P1 - Single patch of stems; • P2 - Few patches of stems; • P3 - Several patches of stems; • P4 - Continuous canopy; openings common; • P5 - Continuous canopy; openings uncommon.
98.	ORIGIN_2	Numeric	11	2	Year of origin of layer 2.
99.	ORGNINT2	String	1	0	 Differentiates between known and estimated year of origin of layer 2 as follows: A - year of origin is known to the nearest year (annum); D - year of origin is estimated to the nearest decade.
100.	CROWN_3	Numeric	11	2	Crown Closure of layer 3 expressed to the nearest 1%.
101.	HEIGHT_3	Numeric	11	2	Average height of layer 3 (m).
102.	COMPLX_3	String	1	0	Canopy structure as follows: None present.
103.	COMPRG_3	Numeric	11	2	Complex Stand Quantifier • None present.
104.	SP1_3	String	2	0	Species 1 of layer 3 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BF - Balsam Fir; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
105.	PER1_3	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 1 of Layer 3.
106.	SP2_3	String	2	0	Species 2 of layer 3 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BF - Balsam Fir; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
107.	PER2_3	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 2 of Layer 3.
108.	SP3_3	String	2	0	Species 3 of layer 3 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BF - Balsam Fir; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
109.	PER3_3	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 3 of Layer 3.

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
110.	SP4_3	String	2	0	Species 4 of layer 3 as follows: • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WB - White Birch; • BF - Balsam Poplar; • BF - Balsam Fir; • TL - Larch; • JP - Jack Pine; • BS - Black Spruce; • WS - White Spruce.
111.	PER4_3	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 4 of Layer 3.
112.	SP5_3	String	2	0	Species 5 of layer 3 as follows: ♦ JP - Jack Pine.
113.	PER5_3	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 5 of Layer 3.
114.	PATTRN_3	String	2	0	Canopy pattern of layer 3 defined as follows: P0 - Single stems; P1 - Single patch of stems; P2 - Few patches of stems; P3 - Several patches of stems; P4 - Continuous canopy; openings common; P5 - Continuous canopy; openings uncommon.
115.	ORIGIN_3	Numeric	11	2	Year of origin of layer 3.
116.	ORGNINT3	String	1	0	 Differentiates between known and estimated year of origin of layer 3 as follows: A - year of origin is known to the nearest year (annum); D - year of origin is estimated to the nearest decade.
117.	CROWN_S	Numeric	11	2	Crown Closure of the shrub layer expressed to the nearest 1%.
118.	COMPLX_S	String	1	0	Canopy structure as follows: ♦ H - Horizontal.
119.	COMPRG_S	Numeric	11	2	Complex Stand Quantifier Horizontal Stand - Describes percent of ground area covered by the horizontal component.
120.	SP1_S	String	2	0	Species 1 of the shrub layer as follows: • Ts - Tall Shrubs; • Al - Alder; • Bh - Beaked Hazel; • Wi - Willow; • Ls - Low Shrub Category; • Bi - Bog Birch; • Bl - Bog Laurel; • La - Labrador tea.
121.	PER1_S	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 1 of the Shrub Layer.
122.	SP2_S	String	2	0	Species 2 of the shrub layer as follows: • Ts - Tall Shrubs; • Al - Alder; • Bh - Beaked Hazel; • Cr - High Bush Cranberry; • Wi - Willow; • Ls - Low Shrub Category; • Bu - Buffalo Berry; • Bl - Bog Laurel.
123.	PER2_S	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 1 of the Shrub Layer.

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
124.	SP3_S	String	2	0	Species 3 of the shrub layer as follows: • Wi - Willow; • Ls - Low Shrub Category; • Ro - Prickly Rose;
125.	PER3_S	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 3 of the Shrub Layer.
126.	SP4_S	String	2	0	Species 4 of the shrub layer as follows: • No species present.
127.	PER4_S	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 3 of the Shrub Layer.
128.	CROWN_H	Numeric	11	2	Crown Closure of the herb layer expressed to the nearest 1%
129.	COMPLX_H	String	1	0	Canopy structure as follows: ♦ H - Horizontal
130.	COMPRG_H	Numeric	11	2	Complex Stand Quantifier Horizontal Stand - Describes percent of ground area covered by the horizontal component.
131.	SP1_H	String	2	0	Species 1 of the herb layer as follows: • Gr - Grasses; • Se - Sedges, Rushes, Reeds; • Li - Lichens.
132.	PER1_H	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 1 of the Herb Layer.
133.	SP2_H	String	2	0	Species 2 of the herb layer as follows: • He - Herbs (unknown species);
134.	PER2_H	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 2 of the Herb Layer.
135.	SP3_H	String	2	0	Species 3 of the herb layer as follows: • No species present.
136.	PER3_H	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 3 of the Herb Layer.
137.	SP4_H	String	2	0	Species 4 of the herb layer as follows: ♦ No species present.
138.	PER4_H	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 4 of the Herb Layer.
139.	SP5_H	String	2	0	Species 5 of the herb layer as follows: • No species present.
140.	PER5_H	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 5 of the Herb Layer.
141.	CROWN_A	Numeric	11	2	Crown Closure of the aquatic layer expressed to the nearest 1%.
142.	COMPLX_A	String	1	0	Canopy structure as follows: ♦ H - Horizontal.
143.	COMPRG_A	Numeric	11	2	Complex Stand Quantifier Horizontal Stand - Describes percent of ground area covered by the horizontal component.
144.	SP1_A	String	2	0	 Species 1 of the aquatic layer as follows: Av - Aquatic Vegetation; Af - Floating Aquatic Vegetation; Ae - Emergent Aquatic Vegetation.
145.	PER1_A	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 1 of the Aquatic Layer.
146.	SP2_A	String	2	0	Species 2 of the aquatic layer as follows: • No species present.
147.	PER2_A	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 2 of the Aquatic Layer.

(6

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
148.	SP3_A	String	2	0	Species 3 of the aquatic layer as follows: • No species present.
149.	PER3_A	Numeric	11	2	Percent Composition for Species 3 of the Aquatic Layer.
150.	COMPLX_N	String	1	0	Canopy structure as follows: ♦ H - Horizontal.
151.	COMPRG_N	Numeric	11	2	Complex Stand Quantifier Horizontal Stand - Describes percent of ground area covered by the horizontal component.
152.	NONFOR	String	3	0	Non-forested features identified as follows: • L - Lakes or Ponds; • R - Rivers; • FL - Floods; • RD - Roads; • TL - Transmission Line; • PL - Oil or Gas Pipeline.
153.	NONFOR_E	Numeric	11	2	Extent; used for roads only as follows: 1 - Paved, numbered highway; 2 - Gravel, numbered highway; 3 - Gravel, access road; 4 - Local access, dirt/ice road; 5 - Trail, dirt.
154.	LANDUSE	String	5	0	Non-vegetated land-use clearings identified as follows: • vegu - Vegetation (agriculture); • bugp - Built-up area (settlement); • towu - Tower; generic; • cmty - Cemetery; • dmgu - Campground (recreation); • gsof - Gas and oil facilities; • rwgu - Runway; • muou - Mining area: open pit; • mg - Mining area: generic; • peatc - Peat cutting; • Imby - Lumber yard; • sdgu - Solids depot; • bupo - Built-up area (industrial); • ftow - Fire tower.
155.	MOIST	String	2	0	Soil moisture regime identified as follows: • VD - Very Dry; • D - Dry; • MF - Moderately Fresh; • F - Fresh; • VF - Very Fresh; • MM - Moderately Moist; • MM - Moist; • VM - Very Moist; • MW - Moderately Wet; • W - Wet; • VW - Very Wet; • A - Aquatic.

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
156.	MOD1	String	2	0	Stand modifier 1 identified as follows: • CO - Cutover; • BO - Burnover; • WI - Windthrow; • IN - Insect; • DI - Disease; • AK - Animal Kill; • SF - Seasonal Flood; • SL - Slump; • SI - Silviculture; • CW - Abandoned Well Site; • GZ - Grazing; • CL - Clearing; • SN - Snags; • SB - Sand/gravel; • CB - Cuthank
157.	EXT1	Numeric	11	2	Extent of modification 1 identified as follows: • 'Blank' - No disturbance; • 1 - Light; • 2 - Moderate; • 3 - Heavy; • 4 - Severe; • 5 - Entire.
158.	YEAR1	Numeric	11	2	Year of modification 1.
159.	YR1_INT	String	1	0	Differentiates between known and estimated year of modifier 1 as follows: ◆ a - year of modification is known to the nearest year (annum); ◆ d - year of modification is estimated to the nearest decade.
160.	MOD2	String	2	0	Stand modifier 2 identified as follows: • CO - Cutover; • BO - Burnover; • WI - Windthrow; • DI - Disease; • CL - Clearing; • SF - Seasonal Flood; • SI - Silviculture; • SN - Snags;
161.	EXT2	Numeric	11	2	Extent of modification 2 identified as follows: • 'Blank' - No disturbance; • 1 - Light; • 2 - Moderate; • 3 - Heavy; • 4 - Severe; • 5 - Entire.
162.	YEAR2	Numeric	11	2	Year of modification 2.
163.	YR2_INT	String	1	0	Differentiates between known and estimated year of modifier 2 as follows: ◆ a - year of modification is known to the nearest year (annum).




FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
164.	MOD3	String	2	0	Stand modifier 3 identified as follows: • CO - Cutover; • BO - Burnover; • WI - Windthrow; • SF - Seasonal Flood; • SI - Silviculture; • CL - Clearing; • SN - Snags.
165.	EXT3	Numeric	11	2	Extent of modification 3 identified as follows: 1 - Light; 2 - Moderate; 3 - Heavy; 4 - Severe; 5 - Entire.
166.	YEAR3	Numeric	11	2	Year of modification 3.
167.	YR3_INT	String	1	0	Differentiates between known and estimated year of modifier 3 as follows: • a - year of modification is known to the nearest year (annum).
168.	MOD4	String	2	0	Stand modifier 4 identified as follows: • SN - Snags;
169.	EXT4	Numeric	11	2	Extent of modification 4 identified as follows: • 1 - Light; • 2 - Moderate.
170.	YEAR4	Numeric	11	2	Year of modification 4.
171.	YR4_INT	String	1	0	Differentiates between known and estimated year of modifier 4 as follows: ◆ Not present.
172.	MOISTH2	String	2	0	Soil moisture regime for the minor horizontal layer identified as follows: • F - Fresh; • VF - Very Fresh; • MM - Moderately Moist; • M - Moist; • VM - Very Moist; • MW - Moderately Wet; • W - Wet; • VW - Very Wet; • A - Aquatic.
173.	MOD1H2	String	2	0	Stand modifier 1 for the minor horizontal layer identified as follows: • BO - Burnover; • SF - Seasonal Flood; • CL - Clearing; • SN - Snags.
174.	EXT1H2	Numeric	11	2	Extent of modification 1 for the minor horizontal layer identified as follows: 1 - Light; 2 - Moderate; 3 - Heavy; 5 - Entire.
175.	YEAR1H2	Numeric	11	2	Year of modification 1 for the minor horizontal layer.
176.	YR1_INH2	String	1	0	Differentiates between known and estimated year of modifier 1 for the minor horizontal layer as follows: • a - year of modification is known to the nearest year (annum).





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
177.	MOD2H2	String	2	0	Stand modifier 2 for the minor horizontal layer identified as follows: • No modifier present.
178.	EXT2H2	Numeric	11	2	Extent of modification 2 for the minor horizontal layer identified as follows: • No extent present.
179.	YEAR2H2	Numeric	11	2	Year of modification 2 for the minor horizontal layer.
180.	YR2_INH2	String	1	0	Differentiates between known and estimated year of modifier 2 for the minor horizontal layer as follows: • Not present.
181.	MOD3H2	String	2	0	Stand modifier 3 for the minor horizontal layer identified as follows: • No modifier present.
182.	EXT3H2	Numeric	11	2	Extent of modification 3 for the minor horizontal layer identified as follows: • No extent present.
183.	YEAR3H2	Numeric	11	2	Year of modification 3 for the minor horizontal layer.
184.	YR3_INH2	String	1	0	Differentiates between known and estimated year of modifier 3 for the minor horizontal layer as follows: • Not present.
185.	Year_int	Numeric	11	2	Interpretation Year: • 1994; • 1995; • 1996; • 1999; • 2000; • 2001; • 2002; • 2003; • 2005; • 2006; • 2015
186.	SHAPE_LENGTH	Numeric	31	15	Shape length in m
187.	SHAPE_AREA	Numeric	31	15	Shape Area in m ²
			CA	LCULATED FIE	LDS
188.	AREAHA	Numeric	8	2	Area in hectares (ha).
189.	HFLAG	Numeric	8	2	 Horizontal Identifier as follows: 0 - Not a horizontal; 1 - Overstorey is the majority horizontal component; 2 - Secondary layer is the majority horizontal component; 4 - Shrub layer is the majority horizontal component; 5 - Herb layer is the majority horizontal component; 6 - Aquatic layer is the majority horizontal component; 7 - Non Forested layer is the majority horizontal component.
190.	SFLAG	Numeric	8	2	 Dominant Crown Layer Identifier as follows: 0 - SFVI Non Forested or a horizontal; 1 - Overstorey is the dominant crown; 2 - Secondary layer is the dominant crown; 3 - Tertiary layer is the dominant crown.





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
191.	DOM_LAYER	Numeric	8	2	 Identifies which canopy layer is the dominant layer based on canopy structure as assigned in HFLAG and SFLAG: 1 - Overstorey layer is the dominant layer; 2 - Secondary layer is the dominant layer; 3 - Tertiary layer is the dominant layer.
192.	TOT_CROWN	Numeric	8	2	Sum of the crown closure of all three canopy layers or the dominant crown closure if a forested horizontal.
193.	RENEW_SPECIES	String	3	0	Renewal species
194.	CUTBLOCK	Numeric	8	2	Identifies most recent cutblock from both SFVI cutblocks and FMS cutblocks: 1 - Cutblocks identified in SFVI MOD3 field; 2 - Cutblocks identified in SFVI MOD2 field that have not been previously identified; 3 - Cutblocks identified in SFVI MOD1 field that have not been previously identified; 4 - Cutblocks indentified in SFVI MOD3 field with no modifier year (YEAR3); 5 - Cutblocks identified in SFVI MOD2 field not previously identified with no modifier year (YEAR2); 6 - Cutblocks identified in SFVI MOD1 field not previously identified with no modifier year (YEAR2); 7 - Override for all FMS cutblocks.
195.	CUTYEAR	Numeric	8	2	Cut Year
196.	PLAN_BLK	Numeric	8	2	Planned Block Flag: ◆ 0; ◆ 1
197.	TACT_BLK	Numeric	8	2	Tactical Block Flag: ◆ 0; ◆ 1
198.	CUTFLAG	Numeric	8	2	Cutflag: ♦ 0; ♦ 1
199.	SFVI_BURN	Numeric	8	2	The most recent burn year identified in SFVI
200.	FIREUPDATE_BURN	Numeric	8	2	Identifies the year burned from the fire update layer
201.	RECENT_BURN	Numeric	8	2	The most recent burn year between the SFVI_BURN and the FIREUPDATE_BURN
202.	BURNFLAG	Numeric	8	2	Burn flag ♦ No burn ♦ 1- Burnt
203.	NEWOCC	String	2	0	Overstorey crown closure class:
204.	OSGROUP	String	2	0	 Overstorey Species Group identified as follows: S - Softwood; SH - Softwood dominated mixedwood; HS - Hardwood dominated mixedwood; H - Hardwood.





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
205.	OPCTCON	Numeric	8	2	Overstory layer percent Conifer • 0- 0-9; • 1- 10-19; • 2 - 20-29; • 3- 30-39; • 4- 40-49; • 5- 50-59; • 6- 60-69; • 7- 70-79; • 8- 80-89; • 9- 90-99; • 10- 100
206.	OPCTDEC	Numeric	8	2	Overstory layer percent Deciduous • 0- 0-9; • 1- 10-19; • 2 - 20-29; • 3- 30-39; • 4- 40-49; • 5- 50-59; • 6- 60-69; • 7- 70-79; • 8- 80-89; • 9- 90-99; • 10- 100
207.	NEWUCC	String	2	0	Secondary forested layer crown closure class:
208.	USPGROUP	String	2	0	 Secondary layer Species Group identified as follows: S - Softwood; SH - Softwood dominated mixedwood; HS - Hardwood dominated mixedwood; H - Hardwood.
209.	UPCTCON	Numeric	8	2	Secondary layer percent Conifer • 0- 0-9; • 1- 10-19; • 2 - 20-29; • 3- 30-39; • 4- 40-49; • 5- 50-59; • 6- 60-69; • 7- 70-79; • 8- 80-89; • 9- 90-99; • 10- 100





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
210.	UPCTDEC	Numeric	8	2	Secondary layer percent Decidious • 0- 0-9; • 1- 10-19; • 2 - 20-29; • 3- 30-39; • 4- 40-49; • 5- 50-59; • 6- 60-69; • 7- 70-79; • 8- 80-89; • 9- 90-99; • 10- 100
211.	NEWTCC	String	2	0	Tertiary layer crown closure class:
212.	TSPGROUP	String	2	0	 Tertiary layer Species Group identified as follows: S - Softwood; SH - Softwood dominated mixedwood; HS - Hardwood dominated mixedwood; H - Hardwood.
213.	SFVI_SPGP	String	4	0	 Dominant layer species group: S - Softwood; SH - Softwood dominated mixedwood; HS - Hardwood dominated mixedwood; H - Hardwood.
214.	BLOCK_SPGP	String	6	0	Block regen species group • H; • HS; • S; • SH
215.	PREHARVEST_SPGP	String	2	0	 Preharvest species group S - Softwood; SH - Softwood dominated mixedwood; HS - Hardwood dominated mixedwood; H - Hardwood.
216.	POSTHARV_SPGP	String	2	0	Postharvest species group- including NSR/NSV • S - Softwood; • SH - Softwood dominated mixedwood; • HS - Hardwood dominated mixedwood; • H - Hardwood. • NSR- not satisfactory restocked • NSV- Not satisfactory vegetated
217.	POSTHARVEST_SPG P	String	3	0	 Postharvest species group- not including NSR/NSV S - Softwood; SH - Softwood dominated mixedwood; HS - Hardwood dominated mixedwood; H - Hardwood.





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
218.	OLEADSP	String	2	0	Overstorey leading species assigned based on OSPGROUP: • BF - Balsam Fir; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BS - Black Spruce; • JP - Jack Pine; • TA - Trembling Aspen; • TL - Larch; • WB - White Birch; • WS - White Spruce.
219.	ULEADSP	String	2	0	Secondary layer leading species assigned based on USPGROUP: • BF - Balsam Fir; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BS - Black Spruce; • JP - Jack Pine; • TA - Trembling Aspen; • TL - Larch; • WB - White Birch; • WS - White Spruce.
220.	TLEADSP	String	2	0	 Tertiary layer leading species assigned based on TSPGROUP: BF - Balsam Fir; BP - Balsam Poplar; BS - Black Spruce; JP - Jack Pine; TA - Trembling Aspen; TL - Larch; WB - White Birch; WS - White Spruce.
221.	SFVI_LEAD	String	2	0	Dominant layer leading species: • BF - Balsam Fir; • BP - Balsam Poplar; • BS - Black Spruce; • JP - Jack Pine; • TA - Trembling Aspen; • TL - Larch; • WB - White Birch; • WS - White Spruce.
222.	SOFT1	String	2	0	Primary layer softwood
223.	SOFT2	String	2	0	Secondary layer softwood
224.	SOFT3	String	3	0	Tertiary layer softwood
225.	LEAD_SOFT	String	2	0	SFVI lead softwood
226.	SEC_SOFT1	String	2	0	Primary secondary softwood
227.	SEC_SOFT2	String	2	0	Secondary secondary softwood
228.	SEC_SOFT3	String	3	0	Tertiary secondary softwood
229.	MARK1	Numeric	8	2	Primary secondary softwood idenfifer
230.	MARK2	Numeric	8	2	Secondary secondary softwood identifier
231.	MARK3	Numeric	8	2	Tertiary secondary softwood identifier





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
232.	SEC_SOFT	String	2	0	Secondary softwood
233.	SFVI_CRWN	String	1	0	Dominant layer crown closure class:
234.	BHAGE	Numeric	8	2	Overstory layer age at breast height
235.	P_INDEX1	Numeric	8	3	Overstorey layer Productivity Index calculated using formulas from the AVI 2.1 manual
236.	SPECNUM	Numeric	8	2	Primary layer overstory species
237.	PCLASS1	Numeric	8	2	Overstorey layer productivity index class assigned by SFVI species SP1_1 and P_INDEX1: 1 - Lowest; 2 - Low; 3 - Medium; 4 - High; 5 - Highest.
238.	BHAGE2	Numeric	8	2	Secondary layer age at breast height
239.	P_INDEX2	Numeric	8	3	Secondary layer Productivity Index calculated using formulas from the AVI 2.1 manual
240.	SPECNU2	Numeric	8	2	Secondary layer overstory species
241.	PCLASS2	Numeric	8	2	Secondary layer productivity index class assigned by SFVI species SP1_2 and P_INDEX2: 1 - Lowest; 2 - Low; 3 - Medium; 4 - High; 5 - Highest.
242.	BHAGE3	Numeric	8	2	Tertiary layer age at breast height
243.	P_INDEX3	Numeric	8	3	Tertiary layer Productivity Index calculated using formulas from the AVI 2.1 manual
244.	SPECNUM3	Numeric	8	2	Tertiary layer overstory species
245.	PCLASS3	Numeric	8	2	Tertiary layer productivity index class assigned by SFVI species SP1_3 and P_INDEX3: 1 - Lowest; 2 - Low; 3 - Medium; 4 - High; 5 - Highest.
246.	SFVI_PCLAS	Numeric	8	2	Dominant layer productivity class: • 1 - Lowest; • 2 - Low; • 3 - Medium; • 4 - High; • 5 - Highest.
			AE	RIAL CRUISE D	ATA
247.	CRZ_FLAG	Numeric	8	2	Identifies polygons that were surveyed in the aerial cruise program: • 0 - Not Cruised; • 1 - Aerial Cruised.





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
248.	CRZ_SPGP	String	2	0	 Aerial Cruise Species Group identified as follows: S - Softwood; SH - Softwood dominated mixedwood; HS - Hardwood dominated mixedwood; H - Hardwood.
249.	CRZ_OPCTCON	Numeric	8	2	Aerial Cruise percent conifer
250.	CRZ_OPCTDEC	Numeric	8	2	Aerial Cruise percent deciduous
251.	CRZ_CROWN	String	2	0	Aerial Cruise Crown Closure: ◆ D.
252.	CRZ_LEAD	String	2	0	 Aerial Cruise Leading Species: BS - Black Spruce; JP - Jack Pine; TA - Trembling Aspen; WB - White Birch; WS - White Spruce.
			FINAL	CALCULATED	FIELDS
253.	WATER	Numeric	8	2	 Binary identifier of Water polygons: ♦ 0 - Not Water; ♦ 1 - Water.
254.	DISPO_BIN	Numeric	8	2	 Binary identifier of Disposition (Timber Reserve and Recreation Area) polygons: ♦ 0 - Not a Disposition; ♦ 1 - Disposition.
255.	A_NONFOR	Numeric	8	2	 Identifies Anthropogenically Non-Forested polygons: 0 - Not Anthropogenically Non-Forested; 1 - SFVI LANDUSE Field; 2 - Landuse update layer, ANTH_DISTURB; 3 - Mistik update roads identified in RD_UPDATE field.
256.	AGE	Numeric	8	2	Stand Age
257.	AGECLASS5	Numeric	8	2	5-year age class
258.	AGECLASS10	Numeric	8	2	10-year age class
259.	DT_SPGP	String	4	0	 Development Type Species Group: S - Softwood; SH - Softwood dominated mixedwood; HS - Hardwood dominated mixedwood; H - Hardwood.
260.	DT_SP1	String	2	0	 Development Type Leading Species: BF - Balsam Fir; BP - Balsam Poplar; BS - Black Spruce; JP - Jack Pine; TA - Trembling Aspen; TL - Larch; WB - White Birch; WS - White Spruce.
261.	DT_SOFT	String	2	0	Development Type Leading Softwood: • BF - Balsam Fir • BS - Black Spruce; • JP - Jack Pine; • TL - Larch; • WS - White Spruce.





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
262.	DT_2SOFT	String	2	0	Development Type Secondary Softwood: • BF - Balsam Fir • BS - Black Spruce; • JP - Jack Pine; • TL - Larch; • WS - White Spruce.
263.	SIG_SOFT	Numeric	8	2	Identifies polygons with a hardwood development type species group that contains softwood in one or more layers.
264.	DT_CROWN	String	2	0	Development Type Crown Closure: ♦ HD - High Density; ♦ LD - Low Density.
265.	DT_SOIL	String	1	0	Development Type Soil: • B - Brunisolic; • L - Luvisolic; • O - Organic.
266.	DT_PCLASS	Numeric	8	2	 Development Type Productivity Class: ↓ 1 - Lower Productivity; ↓ 2 - Higher Productivity.
267.	DT_SPECIES	String	2	0	Development Type Species: • BS - Black Spruce; • JP - Jack Pine; • TA - Trembling Aspen; • WS - White Spruce.
268.	C_PROD	Numeric	8	2	 Binary identifier of stands with low productivity: ♦ 0 - No Productivity Constraint; ♦ 1 - Low Productivity Constraint.
269.	C_LOWCROWN	Numeric	8	2	 Binary identifier of stands with low crown closure: ♦ 0 - No Crown Closure Constraint; ♦ 1 - Low Crown Closure Constraint.
270.	C_LARCH	Numeric	8	2	 Binary identifier of stands with significant (>40%) larch component: 0 - No Larch Constraint; 1 - Significant Larch Composition Constraint.
271.	OLARCHCOMP	Numeric	8	2	Overstorey larch composition
272.	ULARCHCOMP	Numeric	8	2	Second layer larch composition
273.	TLARCHCOMP	Numeric	8	2	Tertiary layer larch composition
274.	C_PINETOE	Numeric	8	2	 Binary identifier of stands infested with Mistletoe using SFVI modifiers and FORHEALTH field from NRCAN: 0 - No Mistletoe Constraint; 1 - Mistletoe Constraint.
275.	C_BS	Numeric	8	2	 Binary identifier of low productivity Black Spruce stands: ♦ 0 - No Black Spruce Constraint; ♦ 1 - Low Productivity Black Spruce Constraint.
276.	TPR	String	1	0	Stand Productivity F- Fair; G- Good; M- Medium; U- Unproductive





(6

FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
277.	NETDOWN	Numeric	8	2	Landbase category numbers identified as follows: • 0 - Netlandbase; • 1 - Water; • 2 - Dispositions; • 3 - Anthropogenically Non-Forested; • 4 - Naturally Non-Forested; • 5 - 90 metre Watercourse Buffer; • 6 - 30 Metre Watercourse Buffer; • 7 - 15 Metre Watercourse Buffer; • 8 - Inoperable; • 9 - Operational Constraints - Low Productivity Class; • 10 - Operational Constraints - Low Crown Cover; • 11 - Operational Constraints - Low Crown Cover; • 12 - Operational Constraints - Pine Stands with Significant Dwarf Mistletoe; • 13 - Operational Constraints - Low Productivity Black Spruce Stands.
278.	NETDOWN_TYPE	String	50	0	Landbase category names identified as follows: • Netlandbase; • Water; • Dispositions; • Anthropogenically Non-Forested; • Naturally Non-Forested; • Naturally Non-Forested; • 30 metre Watercourse Buffer; • 15 metre Watercourse Buffer; • 15 metre Watercourse Buffer; • Inoperable; • Operational Constraints - Low Productivity Class; • Operational Constraints - Low Crown Cover; • Operational Constraints - High Larch Component; • Operational Constraints - Pine Stands with Significant Dwarf Mistletoe; • Operational Constraints - Low Productivity Black Spruce Stands.
279.	EXCLUSION	String	10	0	Identifies polygons that are not in the netlandbase: ◆ Partial; ◆ Permanent.
280.	DEV_CODE	Numeric	8	2	Development Type Number identified as follows: • 1 - S-WS-A-A; • 2 - S-BS-A-A; • 3 - S-JP-LD-A-1; • 4 - S-JP-LD-A-2; • 5 - S-JP-HD-A-1; • 6 - S-JP-HD-A-2; • 7 - S-JP-L&M • 8 - SH-JP-A-A; • 9 - SH-WS-A-A; • 10 - HS-WS-A-A; • 11 - HS-JP-A-A; • 12 - H-A-LD-A-1; • 13 - H-A_LD-A-2; • 14 - H-A-HD-A-1; • 15 - H-A-HD-A-2; • 16 - H(S)-A-LD-A; • 17 - H(S)-A-HD-A.





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
281.	DEVTYPE	String	15	0	Development Type Name identified as follows: + H-A-HD-A-1; + H-A-HD-A-2; + H-A-LD-A; + H(S)-A-HD-A; + H(S)-A-LD-A; + HS-JP-A-A; + HS-WS-A-A; + S-BS-A-A; + S-JP-HD-A-1; + S-JP-LD-A-1; + S-JP-LD-A-2; + S-JP-LD-A-2; + S-JP-LD-A-2; + S-JP-LB-A-A; + S-JP-A-A; + SH-JP-A-A; + SH-WS-A-A.
282.	SERAL_CLAS	Numeric	8	2	Seral Stage • 1- Young; • 2- Immature; • 3- Mature; • 4- Old; • 5- Older
283.	SGR_CODE	Numeric	8	2	Silviculture Ground Rules Number as follows: • 1 - S-WS; • 2 - S-BS; • 3 - S-JP; • 4 - SH-JP; • 5 - SH-WS; • 6 - HS-WS; • 7 - HS-JP; • 8 - H.
284.	SGR_TYPE	String	15	0	Silviculture Ground Rules identified as follows: H; HS-JP; HS-WS; S-BS; S-JP; S-WS; SH-JP; SH-WS.
285.	PFT_TYPE	String	10	0	 PFT polygon type identified as follows: ALA - Agriculture Land; BSH - Bush; FOR - Forested; GRS - Grass; OMS - Open Muskeg; OTH - Other; TMS - Treed Muskeg; UCL - Unclassified; WAT - Water.





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
286.	PFT	String	10	0	 Provincial Forest Type identified as follows: AOH - Any other hardwood except TAB; BSJ - Black Spruce, Jack Pine; BSL - Black Spruce, Larch; HPM - Hardwood with Pine Mixedwood; HSM - Hardwood with Spruce Mixedwood; JLP - Jack Pine, Lodgepole Pine; PMW - Pine dominated mixedwood; SMW - Spruce dominated mixedwood; TAB - Trembling Aspen, White Birch; WSF - White Spruce, Balsam Fir.
287.	PFT_SERAL_CLASS	Numeric	8	2	 Seral Class identified as follows: 1 - Young; 2 - Immature; 3 - Mature; 4 - Old; 5 - Older.
288.	PFT_SERAL_CLASS	Numeric	8	2	 Seral Class identified as follows: 1 - Young; 2 - Immature; 3 - Mature; 4 - Old; 5 - Older.
289.	UPD_HEIGHT	Numeric	8	2	Updated Height
290.	Range_Id				
291.	Local_pop				
292.	GL20161118	Numeric	11	2	Unique spatial identifier
293.	TACTICAL_C	String			 Tactical Plan code identified as follows: T1; T2; OF - old forest; " " - non tactical plan.
294.	AOP_YEAR	Numeric			Identifies blocks that are planned for harvest by calendar
295.	BLOCKSTAT	String		<u> </u>	Block status code: CUT - block is cut; PLANNED - block is planned.
296.	Caribou2006	Numeric			 2007 FMP Caribou Range identifier: 0 - outside the caribou ranges; 1 - within the caribou ranges.
297.	GL20170913	Numeric	11	2	Unique spatial identifier
298.	OLDFOREST	Numeric			 Old forest code identified as follows: 0 - not identified as old forest; 1 - identified as "old" forest; 2 - identified as "very old" forest.
299.	GL20171011	Numeric	11	2	Unique spatial identifier





FIELD NO.	FIELD NAME	FIELD TYPE	FIELD WIDTH	NO. OF DECIMALS	FIELD DESCRIPTION
300.	PROD	Numeric			 Productive forest code identified as follows: 0 - not included within the model as productive forest; 1 - included within the model as productive forest.
301.	AREA_HA	Numeric			Model area field
302.	YOO	Numeric			Year of origin